

# The universal covering homomorphism in o-minimal expansions of groups

Mário J. Edmundo\*

CMAF Universidade de Lisboa  
Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2  
1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal  
edmundo@ci.fc.ul.pt

Pantelis E. Eleftheriou†

Department of Mathematics  
University of Notre Dame  
Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA  
pelefthe@nd.edu

February 19, 2006

## Abstract

Suppose that  $G$  is a definably connected, definable group in an o-minimal expansion of an ordered group. We show that the o-minimal universal covering homomorphism  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \longrightarrow G$  is a locally definable covering homomorphism and  $\pi_1(G)$  is isomorphic to the o-minimal fundamental group  $\pi(G)$  of  $G$  defined using locally definable covering homomorphisms.

---

\*With partial support from the FCT (Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia), program POCTI (Portugal/FEDER-EU).

†Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-02-45167 (Cholak). *MSC:* 03C64, 20E99.  
*Keywords and phrases:* O-minimal structures, universal covers, definable groups. Revised: June 1, 2007.

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an o-minimal expansion of an ordered group  $(R, 0, +, <)$ . The structure  $\mathcal{R}$  will be fixed throughout and will be assumed to be  $\aleph_1$ -saturated. By definable we will mean definable in  $\mathcal{R}$  with parameters.

In the paper [3] the first author introduced a notion of o-minimal fundamental group and o-minimal universal covering homomorphism for definable groups (or more generally for locally definable groups) in arbitrary o-minimal structures which we now recall.

First recall that a group  $(G, \cdot)$  is a *locally definable group over A*, with  $A \subseteq R$  and  $|A| < \aleph_1$ , if there is a countable collection  $\{Z_i : i \in I\}$  of definable subsets of  $R^n$ , all definable over  $A$ , such that: (i)  $G = \bigcup\{Z_i : i \in I\}$ ; (ii) for every  $i, j \in I$  there is  $k \in I$  such that  $Z_i \cup Z_j \subseteq Z_k$  and (iii) the restriction of the group multiplication to  $Z_i \times Z_j$  is a definable map over  $A$  into  $R^n$ .

Given two locally definable groups  $H$  and  $G$  over  $A$ , we say that  $H$  is a *locally definable subgroup of G over A* if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

A homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$  between locally definable groups over  $A$  is called a *locally definable homomorphism over A* if for every definable subset  $Z \subseteq G$  defined over  $A$ , the restriction  $\alpha|_Z$  is a definable map over  $A$ .

In the terminology of [9], locally definable groups (respectively homomorphisms) are  $\vee$ -definable groups (respectively homomorphisms). Therefore, every locally definable group  $G \subseteq R^n$  over  $A$  is equipped with a unique topology  $\tau$ , called the  *$\tau$ -topology*, such that: (i)  $(G, \tau)$  is a topological group; (ii) every generic element of  $G$  has an open definable neighborhood  $U \subseteq R^n$  such that  $U \cap G$  is  $\tau$ -open and the topology which  $U \cap G$  inherits from  $\tau$  agrees with the topology it inherits from  $R^n$ ; (iii) locally definable homomorphisms between locally definable groups are continuous with respect to the  $\tau$  topologies. Note also that when  $G$  is a definable group, then its  $\tau$ -topology coincides with its  *$t$ -topology* from [10].

**Definition 1.1** A locally definable homomorphism  $p : H \rightarrow G$  over  $A$  between locally definable groups over  $A$  is called a *locally definable covering homomorphism* if  $p$  is surjective and there is a family  $\{U_l : l \in L\}$  of  $\tau$ -open definable subsets of  $G$  over  $A$  such that  $G = \bigcup\{U_l : l \in L\}$  and, for each  $l \in L$ ,  $p^{-1}(U_l)$  is a disjoint union of  $\tau$ -open definable subsets of  $H$  over  $A$ , each of which is mapped homeomorphically by  $p$  onto  $U_l$ .

We call  $\{U_l : l \in L\}$  a  *$p$ -admissible family of definable  $\tau$ -neighborhoods* over  $A$ .

We denote by  $\text{Cov}(G)$  the category whose objects are locally definable covering homomorphisms  $p : H \rightarrow G$  (over some  $A$  with  $|A| < \aleph_1$ ) and

whose morphisms are surjective locally definable homomorphisms  $r : H \rightarrow K$  (over some  $A$  with  $|A| < \aleph_1$ ) such that  $q \circ r = p$ , where  $q : K \rightarrow G$  is a locally definable covering homomorphism (over some  $A$  with  $|A| < \aleph_1$ ). Let  $p : H \rightarrow G$  and  $q : K \rightarrow G$  be locally definable covering homomorphisms. If  $r : H \rightarrow K$  is a morphism in  $\text{Cov}(G)$ , then by [3] Theorem 3.6,  $r : H \rightarrow K$  is a locally definable covering homomorphism.

**Definition 1.2** The category  $\text{Cov}(G)$  and its full subcategory  $\text{Cov}^0(G)$  with objects  $h : H \rightarrow G$  such that  $H$  is a definably connected locally definable group, form inverse systems ([3] Corollary 3.7 and Lemma 3.8). The inverse limit  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  of the inverse system  $\text{Cov}^0(G)$  is called the *(o-minimal) universal covering homomorphism of  $G$* .

The kernel of the universal covering homomorphism  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  of  $G$  is called the *(o-minimal) fundamental group of  $G$*  and is denoted by  $\pi(G)$ .

Inverse limits of inverse systems of groups always exist in the category of groups ([11] Proposition 1.1.1), but in general we do not know if the o-minimal universal covering homomorphism  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is locally definable. The main result of this paper is that this is the case in o-minimal expansions of groups.

On the other hand, in the paper [5], the second author and S. Starchenko use definable  $t$ -continuous paths to define the o-minimal fundamental group  $\pi_1(G)$  of a definably  $t$ -connected, definable group  $G$  following the classical case in [7] and the case in o-minimal expansions of fields treated by Berarducci and Otero in [1]. We will adapt that definition to the category of locally definable groups. As in [5] we will run the definition in parallel with respect to the  $\tau$ -topology of a definably connected locally definable group  $G$  and the usual topology on an arbitrary definable subset  $X$  of  $R^n$ .

A  $(\tau\text{-})$ path  $\alpha : [0, p] \rightarrow X$  ( $\alpha : [0, p] \rightarrow G$ ) is a  $(\tau\text{-})$ continuous definable map. A  $(\tau\text{-})$ path  $\alpha : [0, p] \rightarrow X$  ( $\alpha : [0, p] \rightarrow G$ ) is a  $(\tau\text{-})$ loop if  $\alpha(0) = \alpha(p)$ . A concatenation of two  $(\tau\text{-})$ paths  $\gamma : [0, p] \rightarrow X$  ( $\gamma : [0, p] \rightarrow G$ ) and  $\delta : [0, q] \rightarrow X$  ( $\delta : [0, q] \rightarrow G$ ) with  $\gamma(p) = \delta(0)$  is a  $(\tau\text{-})$ path  $\gamma \cdot \delta : [0, p+q] \rightarrow X$  ( $\gamma \cdot \delta : [0, p+q] \rightarrow G$ ) with:

$$(\gamma \cdot \delta)(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(t) & \text{if } t \in [0, p] \\ \delta(t-p) & \text{if } t \in [p, p+q]. \end{cases}$$

Given two definable  $(\tau\text{-})$ continuous maps  $f, g : Y \subseteq R^m \rightarrow X$  ( $f, g : Y \subseteq R^m \rightarrow G$ ), we say that a definable  $(\tau\text{-})$ continuous map  $F(t, s) : Y \times [0, q] \rightarrow X$  ( $F(t, s) : Y \times [0, q] \rightarrow G$ ), is a  $(\tau\text{-})$ homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  if  $f = F_0$  and  $g = F_q$ , where  $\forall s \in [0, q]$ ,  $F_s := F(\cdot, s)$ . In this situation we say that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $(\tau\text{-})$ homotopic, denoted  $f \sim g$  ( $f \sim_\tau g$ ).

**Definition 1.3** Two  $(\tau)$ -paths  $\gamma : [0, p] \rightarrow X$  ( $\gamma : [0, p] \rightarrow G$ ),  $\delta : [0, q] \rightarrow X$  ( $\delta : [0, q] \rightarrow G$ ), with  $\gamma(0) = \delta(0)$  and  $\gamma(p) = \delta(q)$ , are called  $(\tau)$ -homotopic if there is some  $t_0 \in [0, \min\{p, q\}]$ , and a  $(\tau)$ -homotopy  $F(t, s) : [0, \max\{p, q\}] \times [0, r] \rightarrow X$  ( $F(t, s) : [0, \max\{p, q\}] \times [0, r] \rightarrow G$ ), for some  $r > 0$  in  $R$ , between

$$\gamma|_{[0, t_0]} \cdot \mathbf{c} \cdot \gamma|_{[t_0, p]} \text{ and } \delta \text{ (if } p \leq q\text{), or}$$

$$\delta|_{[0, t_0]} \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \delta|_{[t_0, q]} \text{ and } \gamma \text{ (if } q \leq p\text{).}$$

where  $\mathbf{c}(t) = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{d}(t) = \delta(t_0)$  are the constant  $(\tau)$ -paths with domain  $[0, |p - q|]$ .

If  $\mathbb{L}(G)$  denotes the set of all  $\tau$ -loops that start and end at the identity element  $e_G$  of  $G$ , the restriction of  $\sim_\tau$  to  $\mathbb{L}(G) \times \mathbb{L}(G)$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{L}(G)$ . We define

$$\pi_1(G) := \mathbb{L}(G) / \sim_\tau$$

and  $[\gamma] :=$  the class of  $\gamma \in \mathbb{L}(G)$ . Note that  $\pi_1(G)$  is indeed a group with group operation given by  $[\gamma][\delta] = [\gamma \cdot \delta]$ .

In a similar way we define the o-minimal fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  of a definable set  $X \subseteq R^n$ .

Given the above two possible definitions of o-minimal fundamental groups it is natural to try to find out if they coincide. Our main result shows that this is the case:

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an o-minimal expansion of a group and  $G$  a definably  $t$ -connected definable group. Then the o-minimal universal covering homomorphism  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is a locally definable covering homomorphism and  $\pi_1(G)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi(G)$ .*

Theorem 1.4 will actually be proved for definably  $\tau$ -connected locally definable groups. See Theorem 3.11 below. As a consequence of our work we obtain the following corollary which is proved at the end of the paper.

**Corollary 1.5** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an o-minimal expansion of a group and  $G$  a definably  $t$ -connected definable group. Then  $\pi_1(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group. Moreover, if  $G$  is abelian, then there is  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\pi_1(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^l$  and, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the subgroup  $G[k]$  of  $k$ -torsion points of  $G$  is given by  $G[k] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^l$ .*

When  $G$  is a definably compact, abelian definable group, we conjecture that  $l$  above is the dimension of  $G$ . This is known to be the case when  $\mathcal{R}$  is linear ([5]) or  $\mathcal{R}$  is an o-minimal expansion of a real closed field ([4]). So the conjecture is open for  $\mathcal{R}$  eventually linear but not linear.

## 2 Preliminary results

This section contains all the lemmas that come from other references and are used later in the paper. Thus we generalize the theory of [3] and [4] Section 2 to the category of locally definable covering *maps* of locally definable groups in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Since the arguments are similar we will omit the details.

**Definition 2.1** A set  $Z$  is a *locally definable set over A*, where  $A \subseteq R$  and  $|A| < \aleph_1$ , if there is a countable collection  $\{Z_i : i \in I\}$  of definable subsets of  $R^n$ , all definable over  $A$ , such that: (i)  $Z = \bigcup\{Z_i : i \in I\}$ ; (ii) for every  $i, j \in I$  there is  $k \in I$  such that  $Z_i \cup Z_j \subseteq Z_k$ .

Given two locally definable sets  $X$  and  $Z$  over  $A$ , we say that  $X$  is a *locally definable subset of Z over A* if  $X$  is a subset of  $Z$ .

A map  $\alpha : Z \rightarrow X$  between locally definable sets over  $A$  is called a *locally definable map over A* if for every definable subset  $V \subseteq Z$  defined over  $A$ , the restriction  $\alpha|_V$  is a definable map over  $A$ .

By saturation, the set  $Z$  does not depend on the choice of the collection  $\{Z_i : i \in I\}$ . Furthermore, if  $\alpha : Z \rightarrow X$  is a locally definable map over  $A$  between locally definable sets over  $A$  and  $Y$  is a locally definable subset of  $X$  over  $A$ , then the following hold:

(1)  $\alpha(Z)$  is a locally definable subset of  $X$  over  $A$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(Y)$  is a locally definable subset of  $Z$  over  $A$ .

(2) If  $Y$  is such that  $V \cap Y$  is definable for every definable subset  $V$  of  $X$ , then  $W \cap \alpha^{-1}(Y)$  is definable for every definable subset  $W$  of  $Z$ . (Since  $W \cap \alpha^{-1}(Y) = \alpha|_W^{-1}(\alpha(W) \cap Y))$ .

**Definition 2.2** Let  $G$  be a locally definable group over  $A$  and  $W$  a locally definable set over  $A$ . A locally definable map  $w : W \rightarrow G$  over  $A$  is called a *locally definable covering map* if  $w$  is surjective and there is a family  $\{U_l : l \in L\}$  of  $\tau$ -open definable subsets of  $G$  over  $A$  such that  $G = \bigcup\{U_l : l \in L\}$  and, for each  $l \in L$ , the locally definable subset  $w^{-1}(U_l)$  of  $W$  over  $A$  is a disjoint union of definable subsets of  $W$  over  $A$ , each of which is mapped bijectively by  $w$  onto  $U_l$ .

We call  $\{U_l : l \in L\}$  a *w-admissible family of definable  $\tau$ -neighborhoods* over  $A$ .

Given a locally definable covering map  $w : W \rightarrow G$  over  $A$  there is a topology on  $W$ , which we call the *w-topology*, generated by the definable sets of the form  $w^{-1}(U) \cap V$ , where  $U$  is a  $\tau$ -open definable subset of  $G$  and  $V$  is one of the definable subsets of the disjoint union  $w^{-1}(U_l)$  for some  $U_l$  in the  $w$ -admissible family of definable  $\tau$ -neighborhoods.

Clearly, with respect to the  $w$ -topology on  $W$  (and the  $\tau$ -topology on  $G$ ),  $w : W \rightarrow G$  is continuous. Furthermore,  $w : W \rightarrow G$  is an open surjection. In fact, let  $V$  be a  $w$ -open definable subset of  $W$  over  $A$  and, for each  $l \in L$ , let  $\{U_s^l : s \in S_l\}$  be the collection of  $w$ -open disjoint definable subsets of  $W$  over  $A$  such that  $w^{-1}(U_l) = \cup\{U_s^l : s \in S_l\}$  and  $w|_{U_s^l} : U_s^l \rightarrow U_l$  is a definable homeomorphism over  $A$  for every  $s \in S_l$ . Since  $|A| < \aleph_1$ , by saturation, there is  $\{W_1, \dots, W_m\} \subseteq \{U_s^l : l \in L, s \in S_l\}$  such that  $V \subseteq \cup\{W_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ . But then  $V = \cup\{V \cap W_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  and  $w(V) = \cup\{w(V \cap W_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  is  $\tau$ -open.

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $w : W \rightarrow G$  be a locally definable covering map and suppose that  $W$  is also a locally definable group. Then on  $W$  the  $w$ -topology coincides with the  $\tau$ -topology.*

**Proof.** Let  $a \in W$  be a generic point and  $U$  a definable  $w$ -open neighborhood of  $a$  in  $W$ . We may assume that  $w|_U : U \rightarrow w(U)$  is a definable homeomorphism. Since  $w(a)$  is also generic, there exists a definable subset  $V \subseteq w(U)$  containing  $w(a)$  such that  $V$  is both  $\tau$ -open in  $G$  and open in  $G$  with the induced topology on  $G$  from  $R^n$ . Thus  $w^{-1}(V)$  is also both a  $w$ -neighborhood of  $a$  in  $W$  and in  $W$  with the induced topology on  $W$  from  $R^n$ . Hence,  $w^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\tau$ -neighborhood of  $a$  in  $W$ . By uniqueness of  $\tau$ -topology, this implies that the  $w$ -topology and the  $\tau$ -topology on  $W$  agree.  $\square$

Let  $w : W \rightarrow G$  be a locally definable covering map (over some  $A$  with  $|A| < \aleph_1$ ). Let  $X$  be a definable subset of  $W$  equipped with the induced  $w$ -topology from  $W$ . We will now introduce certain notions in parallel for  $X$  and  $W$ .

A  $w$ -path  $\alpha : [0, p] \rightarrow X$  ( $\alpha : [0, p] \rightarrow W$ ) is a  $w$ -continuous definable map. A  $w$ -path  $\alpha : [0, p] \rightarrow X$  ( $\alpha : [0, p] \rightarrow W$ ) is a  $w$ -loop if  $\alpha(0) = \alpha(p)$ . A concatenation of two  $w$ -paths  $\gamma : [0, p] \rightarrow X$  ( $\gamma : [0, p] \rightarrow W$ ) and  $\delta : [0, q] \rightarrow X$  ( $\delta : [0, q] \rightarrow W$ ) with  $\gamma(p) = \delta(0)$  is a  $w$ -path  $\gamma \cdot \delta : [0, p+q] \rightarrow X$  ( $\gamma \cdot \delta : [0, p+q] \rightarrow W$ ) with:

$$(\gamma \cdot \delta)(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(t) & \text{if } t \in [0, p] \\ \delta(t-p) & \text{if } t \in [p, p+q]. \end{cases}$$

Given two definable  $w$ -continuous maps  $f, g : Y \subseteq R^m \rightarrow X$  ( $f, g : Y \subseteq R^m \rightarrow W$ ), we say that a definable  $w$ -continuous map  $F(t, s) : Y \times [0, q] \rightarrow X$  ( $F(t, s) : Y \times [0, q] \rightarrow W$ ) is a  $w$ -homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  if  $f = F_0$  and  $g = F_q$ , where  $\forall s \in [0, q], F_s := F(\cdot, s)$ . In this situation we say that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -homotopic, denoted  $f \sim_w g$ .

**Definition 2.4** Two  $w$ -paths  $\gamma : [0, p] \rightarrow X$  ( $\gamma : [0, p] \rightarrow W$ ),  $\delta : [0, q] \rightarrow X$  ( $\delta : [0, q] \rightarrow W$ ), with  $\gamma(0) = \delta(0)$  and  $\gamma(p) = \delta(q)$ , are called  $w$ -homotopic if there is some  $t_0 \in [0, \min\{p, q\}]$ , and a  $w$ -homotopy  $F(t, s) : [0, \max\{p, q\}] \times [0, r] \rightarrow X$  ( $F(t, s) : [0, \max\{p, q\}] \times [0, r] \rightarrow W$ ), for some  $r > 0$  in  $R$ , between

$$\gamma|_{[0, t_0]} \cdot \mathbf{c} \cdot \gamma|_{[t_0, p]} \text{ and } \delta \text{ (if } p \leq q\text{), or}$$

$$\delta|_{[0, t_0]} \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \delta|_{[t_0, q]} \text{ and } \gamma \text{ (if } q \leq p\text{).}$$

where  $\mathbf{c}(t) = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{d}(t) = \delta(t_0)$  are the constant  $w$ -paths with domain  $[0, |p - q|]$ .

If  $\mathbb{L}(W)$  denotes the set of all  $w$ -loops that start and end at a fixed element  $e_W$  of  $W$  such that  $w(e_W) = e_G$ , the restriction of  $\sim_w$  to  $\mathbb{L}(W) \times \mathbb{L}(W)$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{L}(W)$ . We define

$$\pi_1(W) := \mathbb{L}(W) / \sim_w$$

and  $[\gamma] :=$  the class of  $\gamma \in \mathbb{L}(W)$ . Note that  $\pi_1(W)$  is indeed a group with group operation given by  $[\gamma][\delta] = [\gamma \cdot \delta]$ . Also this group depends on the  $w$ -topology on  $W$ .

In a similar way we define the o-minimal fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  of a definable subset  $X \subseteq W$  with respect to the induced  $w$ -topology.

Clearly, any two constant  $w$ -loops at the same point  $c \in W$  are  $w$ -homotopic. We will thus write  $\epsilon_c$  for the constant  $w$ -loop at  $c$  without specifying its domain.

In view of Lemma 2.3, we obtain the above notions with  $w$  replaced by  $\tau$  for definable subsets of a locally definable group equipped with the induced  $\tau$ -topology.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $w : W \rightarrow G$  and  $v : V \rightarrow H$  be locally definable covering maps. Then  $(w, v) : W \times V \rightarrow G \times H$  is a locally definable covering map and  $\theta : \pi_1(W) \times \pi_1(V) \rightarrow \pi_1(W \times V) : ([\gamma], [\delta]) \mapsto [(\gamma, \delta)]$  is a group isomorphism.*

**Proof.** The inverse of  $\theta$  is  $\pi_1(W \times V) \rightarrow \pi_1(W) \times \pi_1(V) : [\rho] \mapsto ([q_1 \circ \rho], [q_2 \circ \rho])$  where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are the projections from  $W \times V$  onto  $W$  and  $V$ , respectively.  $\square$

Let  $w : W \rightarrow G$  be a locally definable covering map (over some  $A$  with  $|A| < \aleph_1$ ). Let  $Z$  be a definable set and let  $f : Z \rightarrow G$  be a definable

continuous map (with respect to the  $\tau$ -topology on  $G$ ). A *lifting of  $f$*  is a continuous definable map  $\tilde{f} : Z \rightarrow W$  (with respect to the  $w$ -topology on  $W$ ) such that  $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$ .

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $w : W \rightarrow G$  be a locally definable covering map,  $Z$  a definably connected definable set and  $f : Z \rightarrow G$  a definable continuous map. If  $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 : Z \rightarrow W$  are two liftings of  $f$ , then  $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$  provided there is a  $z \in Z$  such that  $\tilde{f}_1(z) = \tilde{f}_2(z)$ .*

**Proof.** As in the proof of [3] Lemma 3.2, both sets  $\{w \in Z : \tilde{f}_1(w) = \tilde{f}_2(w)\}$  and  $\{w \in Z : \tilde{f}_1(w) \neq \tilde{f}_2(w)\}$  are definable and open, the first one is nonempty.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7** *Suppose that  $w : W \rightarrow G$  is a locally definable covering map. Then the following hold.*

(1) *Let  $\gamma$  be a  $\tau$ -path in  $G$  and  $y \in W$ . If  $w(y) = \gamma(0)$ , then there is a unique  $w$ -path  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $W$ , lifting  $\gamma$ , such that  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = y$ .*

(2) *Suppose that  $F$  is a  $\tau$ -homotopy between the  $\tau$ -paths  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  in  $G$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be a  $w$ -path in  $W$  lifting  $\gamma$ . Then there is a unique definable lifting  $\tilde{F}$  of  $F$ , which is a  $w$ -homotopy between  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , where  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a  $w$ -path in  $W$  lifting  $\sigma$ .*

**Proof.** In our category, the path and the homotopy liftings can be proved as in [4] by observing that, by saturation, a definable subset of  $G$  is covered by finitely many open definable subsets of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Notation:** Referring to Lemma 2.7, if  $\gamma : [0, q] \rightarrow G$  is a  $\tau$ -path in  $G$  and  $y \in W$ , we denote by  $y * \gamma$  the final point  $\tilde{\gamma}(q)$  of the lifting  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  with initial point  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = y$ .

The following consequence of Lemma 2.7 is proved in exactly the same way as its definable analogue in [4] Corollary 2.9. Below, for  $w : W \rightarrow G$  a locally definable covering map, we say that  $W$  is *definably  $w$ -connected* if there is no proper locally definable subset of  $W$  which is both  $w$ -open and  $w$ -closed and whose intersection with any definable subset of  $W$  is definable. In view of Lemma 2.3, this notion generalizes the notion of definably connected in locally definable groups studied in [3].

**Remark 2.8** Suppose that  $w : W \rightarrow G$  is a locally definable covering map and let  $y \in W$  be such that  $w(y) = e_G$ . Suppose that  $W$  and  $G$  are definably  $w$ -connected and  $\tau$ -connected respectively. Then we have a

well defined homomorphism  $w_* : \pi_1(W) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G) : [\gamma] \mapsto [w \circ \gamma]$  and the following hold.

- (1) If  $\sigma$  is a  $\tau$ -path in  $G$  from  $e_G$  to  $e_G$ , then  $y = y * \sigma$  if and only if  $[\sigma] \in w_*(\pi_1(W))$ .
- (2) If  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are two  $\tau$ -paths in  $G$  from  $e_G$  to  $x$ , then  $y * \sigma = y * \sigma'$  if and only if  $[\sigma \cdot \sigma'^{-1}] \in w_*(\pi_1(W))$ .

Let  $w : W \longrightarrow G$  be a locally definable covering map. We say that  $W$  is *w-path connected* if for every  $u, v \in W$  there is a  $w$ -path  $\alpha : [0, q] \longrightarrow W$  such that  $\alpha(0) = u$  and  $\alpha(q) = v$ .

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $w : W \longrightarrow G$  be a locally definable covering map. Then  $W$  is definably *w-connected* if and only if  $W$  is *w-path connected*. In fact, for any definably *w-connected* definable subset  $X$  of  $W$  there is a uniformly definable family of *w*-paths in  $X$  connecting a given fixed point in  $X$  to any other point in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $w : W \longrightarrow G$  is a locally definable covering map, it is enough to prove the result for locally definable groups. By the first part of the proof of [9] Lemma 2.13, there is a locally definable subset  $U$  of  $G$  such that  $\dim(G \setminus U) < \dim G$ , the intersection of any definable subset of  $G$  with  $U$  is a definable subset and the induced  $\tau$ -topology on  $U$  coincides with the induced topology from  $R^n$ . So a definable subset  $B$  of  $U$  is  $\tau$ -connected if and only if  $B$  is definably connected (in  $R^n$ ). Thus the result follows from by [6] Chapter VI, Proposition 3.2 and its proof, saturation and [3] Lemma 3.5 (i.e., countably many translates of  $U$  cover  $G$ ).  $\square$

The next proposition is also a consequence of Lemma 2.7 and is proved in exactly the same way as its definable analogue in [4] Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.10.

**Proposition 2.10** *Let  $w : W \longrightarrow G$  be a locally definable covering map. Suppose that  $W$  and  $G$  are definably *w-connected* and  $\tau$ -connected respectively. Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $w_* : \pi_1(W) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G)$  is an injective homomorphism;
- (2)  $\pi_1(G)/w_*(\pi_1(W)) \simeq \text{Aut}(W/G)$  (the group of all locally definable *w*-homeomorphisms  $\phi : W \longrightarrow W$  such that  $w = w \circ \phi$ ).

Below we will also require the following generalization of Lemma 2.6:

**Lemma 2.11** *Let  $w : W \rightarrow G$  and  $v : V \rightarrow H$  be locally definable covering maps and let  $f, g : V \rightarrow W$  be two continuous locally definable maps (with respect to the  $v$  and  $w$  topologies) such that  $w \circ f = w \circ g$ . If  $V$  is definably  $v$ -connected and  $f(x) = g(x)$  for some  $x \in V$ , then  $f = g$ .*

**Proof.** This is as in [3] Lemma 3.2 once we show that  $\{x \in V : f(x) = g(x)\}$ , which is open and closed, is a locally definable subset whose intersection with any definable subset of  $V$  is a definable subset of  $V$ . If  $C, D \subseteq V$  are definable, then  $(V \times_W V) \cap (C \times D) = \{(x, y) \in C \times D : f|_C(x) = g|_D(y)\}$  is definable, and so  $(V \times_W V) \cap E$  is definable for every definable subset  $E$  of  $V \times V$ . Similarly,  $\Delta_V \cap E$  is definable for every definable subset  $E$  of  $V \times V$ . Hence,  $(V \times_W V) \cap \Delta_V \cap E$  is definable for every definable subset  $E$  of  $V \times V$ . From this and the observation (2) on page 5 we get our result since  $\{x \in V : f(x) = g(x)\} = i^{-1}((V \times_W V) \cap \Delta_V)$ , where  $i : V \rightarrow \Delta_V : x \mapsto (x, x)$  is a locally definable map.  $\square$

Finally we include the following result ([3] Proposition 3.4) which will also be useful later:

**Proposition 2.12** *Let  $h : H \rightarrow G$  be a locally definable covering homomorphism and suppose that  $H$  is definably  $\tau$ -connected. Then*

$$\text{Ker } h \simeq \text{Aut}(H/G)$$

and  $\text{Aut}(H/G)$  is abelian.

### 3 The universal covering homomorphism

Here we will present the proof of our main result. We start however with a special case.

#### 3.1 A special case of the main result

The main result of the paper [5], in the language of the theory of locally definable covering homomorphisms, is the following (compare with [5] Remark 6.14). For a related result see also [8].

**Theorem 3.1 ([5])** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{R}$  is an ordered vector space over an ordered division ring and  $G$  is a definably  $t$ -connected, definably compact, definable group of dimension  $n$ . Then there is a locally definable group  $V$  which is a subgroup of  $(\mathcal{R}^n, +)$  and a locally definable covering homomorphism  $v : V \rightarrow G$  such that  $\pi_1(G) \simeq \text{Ker } v \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ .*

In [5] Remark 6.14 it is suggested that  $v : V \rightarrow G$  is in some sense the universal cover of  $G$  since we have  $\pi_1(V) = 1$  ([5] Corollary 6.7). This claim can now be made more precise:

**Theorem 3.2** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{R}$  is an ordered vector space over an ordered division ring and  $G$  is a definably  $t$ -connected, definably compact, definable group of dimension  $n$ . Then the locally definable covering homomorphism  $v : V \rightarrow G$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  and  $\pi_1(G) \simeq \pi(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $q : K \rightarrow V$  is a locally definable covering homomorphism. Then from Propositions 2.10 and 2.12 we obtain  $\text{Ker}q \simeq \text{Aut}(K/V) \simeq \pi_1(V)/q_*(\pi_1(K)) = 1$  since  $\pi_1(V) = 1$ , by [5] Corollary 6.7. So  $q : K \rightarrow V$  is a locally definable isomorphism (since it is surjective). Consequently, by [3] Lemma 3.8, the set of all  $h : H \rightarrow G$  in  $\text{Cov}^0(G)$  which are locally definably isomorphic to  $v : V \rightarrow G$  is cofinal in  $\text{Cov}^0(G)$  and hence the inverse limit  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is isomorphic to  $v : V \rightarrow G$ . By Propositions 2.10 and 2.12 we obtain  $\pi(G) \simeq \text{Ker}v \simeq \text{Aut}(V/G) \simeq \pi_1(G)$  since  $\pi_1(V) = 1$ . Thus the result holds as required.  $\square$

### 3.2 The main result

Here we prove the main result of the paper. Before we proceed we need the following propositions.

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a definably  $\tau$ -connected locally definable group of dimension  $k$ . Then there is a countable collection  $\{O_s : s \in S\}$  of  $\tau$ -open definably  $\tau$ -connected definable subsets of  $G$  with  $G = \bigcup\{O_s : s \in S\}$  and, for each  $s \in S$ ,  $O_s$  is definably homeomorphic to an open cell in  $R^k$ . In particular, for each  $s \in S$ , the o-minimal fundamental group  $\pi_1(O_s)$  with respect to the induced  $\tau$ -topology on  $O_s$  is trivial*

**Proof.** By the first part of the proof of [9] Lemma 2.13, there is a locally definable subset  $U$  of  $G$  such that  $\dim(G \setminus U) < \dim G$ , the intersection of any definable subset of  $G$  with  $U$  is a definable subset and the induced  $\tau$ -topology on  $U$  coincides with the induced topology from  $R^n$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $U$  is a countable union of cells of dimension  $k = \dim G$ . Note that on each of these  $k$ -cells in  $U$ , the induced  $\tau$ -topology coincides with the induced topology from  $R^n$ . By [3] Lemma 3.5 countably many translates of  $U$  cover  $G$ , so countably many  $\tau$ -open definably  $\tau$ -connected subsets of  $G$  which are definably  $\tau$ -homeomorphic to  $k$ -cells in  $U$  cover  $G$ .

Let  $\{O_s : s \in S\}$  be this collection. To finish, it is enough to show that if  $C$  is an open cell in  $R^k$  then  $\pi_1(C) = 1$  (since definable homeomorphisms induce isomorphisms between the o-minimal fundamental groups).

We will show this by induction on the construction of cells. If  $C$  has dimension zero then this is obvious. Assume that  $C = (a, b) \subseteq R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  is an open cell of dimension one and  $\alpha : [0, q] \rightarrow C$  is a definable loop at  $c \in C$ . Consider the continuous definable map  $H : [0, q] \times [0, q] \rightarrow C$  given by

$$H(t, x) := \alpha\left(\frac{t+x+|t-x|}{2}\right).$$

Then  $H$  is a definable homotopy between  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon_c$ . So  $[\alpha] = 1$  and  $\pi_1(C) = 1$  as required.

Suppose that  $B$  is a cell,  $\pi_1(B) = 1$  and  $C = (f, g)_B$  with  $f, g : B \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  continuous definable maps such that  $f < g$ . Let  $c = (b, a) \in C$  and let  $\sigma : [0, q] \rightarrow C$  be a definable loop at  $c$ . We can write  $\sigma(t) = (\beta(t), \alpha(t))$  for some definable loop  $\beta : [0, q] \rightarrow B$  at  $b$  and  $\alpha : [0, q] \rightarrow R$  a definable loop at  $a$ . By assumption there is a definable homotopy  $F : [0, q] \times [0, p] \rightarrow B$  between  $\beta$  and  $\epsilon_b$  and a definable homotopy  $E : [0, q] \times [0, r] \rightarrow R$  between  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon_a$ . Let  $H : [0, q] \times [0, \max\{r, p\}] \rightarrow C$  be the definable map such that if  $r \leq p$  then

$$H(t, x) = \begin{cases} (F(t, x), E(t, x)) & \text{if } x \leq r, \\ (F(t, x), E(t, r)) & \text{if } x \geq r, \end{cases}$$

and if  $p \leq r$  then

$$H(t, x) = \begin{cases} (F(t, x), E(t, x)) & \text{if } x \leq p, \\ (F(t, p), E(t, x)) & \text{if } x \geq p. \end{cases}$$

Then  $H$  is a definable homotopy between  $\sigma$  and  $\epsilon_c$ . So  $[\sigma] = 1$  and  $\pi_1(C) = 1$  as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $G$  be a definably  $\tau$ -connected locally definable group. Then the o-minimal fundamental group  $\pi_1(G)$  of  $G$  (with respect to the induced  $\tau$ -topology) is countable. In fact, if  $G$  is definable, then  $\pi_1(G)$  is finitely generated.*

**Proof.** Consider the countable cover  $\{O_s : s \in S\}$  of  $G$  by  $\tau$ -open definably  $\tau$ -connected definable subsets given by Proposition 3.3. For each pair of distinct elements  $s, t \in S$  such that  $O_s \cap O_t \neq \emptyset$  and for each definably

$\tau$ -connected component  $C$  of this intersection choose a point  $a_{s,t,C} \in C$ . For each pair  $(a_{s,t,C}, a_{s',t',D})$  of distinct points and  $l \in \{s, t\} \cap \{s', t'\}$  let  $\sigma_{(C,D),s,t,s',t'}^l$  be a  $\tau$ -path in  $O_l$  from  $a_{s,t,C}$  to  $a_{s',t',D}$ . Also, for each  $a_{s,t,C}$  such that  $e_G \in O_s$ , let  $\sigma_{(e_G,C),s,t}^s$  (respectively,  $\sigma_{(C,e_G),s,t}^s$ ) be a  $\tau$ -path in  $O_s$  from  $e_G$  to  $a_{s,t,C}$  (respectively, from  $a_{s,t,C}$  to  $e_G$ ).

Let  $\Sigma$  be the countable collection of all  $\tau$ -paths  $\sigma_{(C,D),s,t,s',t'}^l$ ,  $\sigma_{(e_G,C),s,t}^s$  and  $\sigma_{(C,e_G),s,t}^s$  as above. The set  $\Sigma$  generates a free countable language  $\Sigma^*$  such that some of its words correspond in an obvious way to  $\tau$ -paths in  $G$ . To finish the proof it is enough to show that any  $\tau$ -loop in  $G$  is  $\tau$ -homotopic to a  $\tau$ -loop which is a concatenation of  $\tau$ -paths in  $\Sigma$  and thus corresponds to a word in  $\Sigma^*$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a  $\tau$ -loop in  $G$ . Then by saturation and o-minimality there exists a minimal  $k$  for which we can choose points  $0 = t(0) < t(1) < \dots < t(k) < t(k+1) = q_\lambda$  such that for each  $j = 0, \dots, k$ , we have  $\lambda([t(j), t(j+1)]) \subseteq O_{s(j)}$  for some  $s(j) \in S$ . Thus  $\lambda = \lambda_0 \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_k$  where, for each  $j$ ,  $\lambda_j : [0, q_{\lambda_j}] \rightarrow G$  is the  $\tau$ -path with  $q_{\lambda_j} = t(j+1) - t(j)$  and given by  $\lambda_j(t) = \lambda(t + t(j))$ . For  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ , let  $C_i$  be the definably  $\tau$ -connected component of  $O_{s(i)} \cap O_{s(i+1)}$  containing  $\lambda_i(q_{\lambda_i})$  and let  $\epsilon_i$  be a  $\tau$ -path in  $C_i$  from  $a_{s(i),s(i+1),C_i}$  to  $\lambda_i(q_{\lambda_i})$ . Let  $\sigma_0$  be the  $\tau$ -path  $\sigma_{(e_G,C_0),s(0),s(1)}^{s(0)}$  in  $O_{s(0)}$  and let  $\sigma_k$  be the  $\tau$ -path  $\sigma_{(C_{k-1},e_G),s(k-1),s(k)}^{s(k)}$  in  $O_{s(k)}$ . Finally, for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , let  $\sigma_i$  be the  $\tau$ -path  $\sigma_{(C_{i-1},C_i),s(i-1),s(i),s(i+1)}^{s(i)}$  in  $O_{s(i)}$ . Since by Proposition 3.3,  $\pi_1(O_{s(j)}) = 1$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, k$ , we have that  $\sigma_0$  is  $\tau$ -homotopic to  $\lambda_0 \cdot \epsilon_0^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma_k$  is  $\tau$ -homotopic to  $\epsilon_{k-1} \cdot \lambda_k$  and, for each  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ ,  $\sigma_i$  is  $\tau$ -homotopic to  $\epsilon_{i-1} \cdot \lambda_i \cdot \epsilon_i^{-1}$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  is  $\tau$ -homotopic to  $\sigma_0 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_k \in \Sigma^*$  as required.

Assume now that  $G$  is definable. Let  $K$  be the simplicial complex of dimension one whose vertices are the end points of the  $\tau$ -paths in  $\Sigma$  and whose edges are the images of the  $\tau$ -paths in  $\Sigma$ . Clearly we have a homomorphism  $\pi_1(|K|, e_G) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)$  which sends an edge loop in  $K$  into the  $\tau$ -loop it determines in  $G$ . This is well defined since if two edge loops are homotopic in  $|K|$  then they are obviously  $\tau$ -homotopic in  $G$ . The argument in the previous paragraph shows that the homomorphism  $\pi_1(|K|, e_G) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)$  is surjective. Now as explained in [2] Chapter 3, Subsection 3.5.3, the fundamental group of a (finite) simplicial complex is finitely generated. Hence  $\pi_1(G)$  is also finitely generated.  $\square$

*For the rest of the section, fix  $G$  a definably  $\tau$ -connected locally definable group.*

We will construct now an “abstract universal covering map”  $u : U \rightarrow G$  from which we will obtain a locally definable covering map  $v : V \rightarrow G$  which

will be a locally definable covering homomorphism once we put a suitable locally definable group structure on  $V$ . The later will then be shown to be isomorphic to  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ .

Given two  $\tau$ -paths  $\sigma : [0, q_\sigma] \longrightarrow G$  and  $\lambda : [0, q_\lambda] \longrightarrow G$  in  $G$ , we put  $\sigma \simeq \lambda$  if and only if  $\sigma(0) = \lambda(0) = e_G$ ,  $\sigma(q_\sigma) = \lambda(q_\lambda)$  and  $[\sigma \cdot \lambda^{-1}] = 1 \in \pi_1(G)$ . Here,  $\lambda^{-1} : [0, q_{\lambda^{-1}}] \longrightarrow G$  is the  $\tau$ -path such that  $q_{\lambda^{-1}} = q_\lambda$  and  $\lambda^{-1}(t) = \lambda(q_\lambda - t)$  for every  $t$  in  $[0, q_{\lambda^{-1}}]$ . The relation  $\simeq$  is an equivalence relation and we denote the equivalence class of  $\sigma$  under  $\simeq$  by  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ . For each  $s \in S$ , let  $U_s = \{ \langle \sigma \rangle : \sigma \text{ is a } \tau\text{-path in } G \text{ such that } \sigma(0) = e_G \text{ and } \sigma(q_\sigma) \in O_s \}$  and fix a  $\tau$ -path  $\sigma_s : [0, q_s] \longrightarrow G$  such that  $\sigma(0) = e_G$  and  $\sigma(q_s) \in O_s$ .

**Claim 3.5** *There is a well-defined bijection*

$$\phi_s : U_s \longrightarrow O_s \times \pi_1(G) : \langle \lambda \rangle \mapsto (\lambda(q_\lambda), [\lambda \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}]),$$

where  $\eta : [0, q_\eta] \longrightarrow O_s$  is a  $\tau$ -path in  $O_s$  such that  $\eta(0) = \lambda(q_\lambda)$  and  $\eta(q_\eta) = \sigma_s(q_s)$ .

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\phi_s$  is well-defined, i.e. it does not depend on the choice of  $\eta$  since  $\pi_1(O_s) = 1$  (Proposition 3.3) and for  $\langle \lambda \rangle = \langle \lambda' \rangle$  we have  $\lambda(q_\lambda) = \lambda(q_{\lambda'})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}] &= [\lambda \cdot \lambda'^{-1} \cdot \lambda' \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}] \\ &= [\lambda \cdot \lambda'^{-1}] [\lambda' \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}] \\ &= [\lambda' \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for  $o \in O_s$  and  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(G)$  we have  $\phi_s(\langle \lambda \rangle) = (o, [\gamma])$  for  $\lambda = \gamma \cdot \sigma_s \cdot \eta^{-1}$ , where  $\eta : [0, q_\eta] \longrightarrow G$  is a  $\tau$ -path in  $O_s$  such that  $\eta(0) = o$  and  $\eta(q_\eta) = \sigma_s(q_s)$ . Thus  $\phi_s$  is surjective. On the other hand, suppose that  $\phi_s(\langle \lambda \rangle) = \phi_s(\langle \lambda' \rangle)$ . Then  $\lambda(q_\lambda) = \lambda'(q_{\lambda'})$  and  $[\lambda \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}] = [\lambda' \cdot \eta' \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}]$ . But we also have

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}] &= [\lambda \cdot \lambda'^{-1}] [\lambda' \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}] \\ &= [\lambda \cdot \lambda'^{-1}] [\lambda' \cdot \eta' \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}] \\ &= [\lambda \cdot \lambda'^{-1}] [\lambda \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

(the fact  $\pi_1(O_s) = 1$  (Proposition 3.3) implies that  $\lambda' \cdot \eta \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}$  is  $\tau$ -homotopic to  $\lambda' \cdot \eta' \cdot \sigma_s^{-1}$ ). Thus we have  $[\lambda \cdot \lambda'^{-1}] = 1$ ,  $\langle \lambda \rangle = \langle \lambda' \rangle$  and  $\phi_s$  is injective.  $\square$

Set  $U = \cup \{U_s : s \in S\}$  and let  $u : U \longrightarrow G$  be the surjective map given by  $u(\langle \lambda \rangle) = \lambda(q_\lambda)$ . By Claim 3.5 and its proof we have, for each  $s \in S$ ,

(•)  $u^{-1}(O_s)$  is the disjoint union of the subsets  $\phi_s^{-1}(O_s \times \{[\gamma]\})$  with  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(G)$ ;

(••)  $u$  restricted to  $\phi_s^{-1}(O_s \times \{[\gamma]\})$  is a bijection onto  $O_s$ .

**Claim 3.6** *If  $s, t \in S$  are such that  $O_s \cap O_t \neq \emptyset$  and  $C$  is a definably  $\tau$ -connected component of  $O_s \cap O_t$ , then the restriction of the bijection*

$$\phi_t \circ \phi_s^{-1} : (O_s \cap O_t) \times \pi_1(G) \longrightarrow (O_s \cap O_t) \times \pi_1(G)$$

*to  $C \times \{[\gamma]\}$  is the same as  $C \times \{[\gamma]\} \longrightarrow C \times \{[\gamma_C]\} : (o, [\gamma]) \mapsto (o, [\gamma_C])$  for some  $[\gamma_C] \in \pi_1(G)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $o \in C$ . By Claim 3.5 and its proof,  $\phi_t \circ \phi_s^{-1}(o, [\gamma]) = (o, [\lambda \cdot \eta' \cdot \sigma_t^{-1}])$ , where  $\lambda = \gamma \cdot \sigma_s \cdot \eta^{-1}$  and  $\eta : [0, q_\eta] \longrightarrow O_s$  and  $\eta' : [0, q_{\eta'}] \longrightarrow O_t$  are  $\tau$ -paths such that  $\eta(0) = \eta'(0) = o$ ,  $\eta(q_\eta) = \sigma_s(q_s)$  and  $\eta'(q_{\eta'}) = \sigma_t(q_t)$ . Thus to prove the claim it is enough to show that  $[\gamma \cdot \sigma_s \cdot \eta^{-1} \cdot \eta' \cdot \sigma_t^{-1}] = [\gamma \cdot \sigma_s \cdot \theta^{-1} \cdot \theta' \cdot \sigma_t^{-1}]$  whenever  $\theta : [0, q_\theta] \longrightarrow O_s$  and  $\theta' : [0, q_{\theta'}] \longrightarrow O_t$  are  $\tau$ -paths such that  $\theta(0) = \theta'(0) \in C$ ,  $\theta(q_\theta) = \sigma_s(q_s)$  and  $\theta'(q_{\theta'}) = \sigma_t(q_t)$ .

Since  $C$  is  $\tau$ -path connected, let  $\rho : [0, q_\rho] \longrightarrow C$  be a  $\tau$ -path such that  $\rho(0) = o$  and  $\rho(q_\rho) = \theta(0) = \theta'(0)$ . Now using the fact that  $\pi_1(O_s) = \pi_1(O_t) = 1$  (Proposition 3.3) we see that  $\rho \cdot \theta$  (respectively  $\theta' \cdot \rho^{-1}$ ) is  $\tau$ -homotopic to  $\eta$  (respectively  $\eta'^{-1}$ ). Thus  $\eta^{-1} \cdot \eta'$  is  $\tau$ -homotopic to  $\theta^{-1} \cdot \theta'$ . From here we get  $[\gamma \cdot \sigma_s \cdot \eta^{-1} \cdot \eta' \cdot \sigma_t^{-1}] = [\gamma \cdot \sigma_s \cdot \theta^{-1} \cdot \theta' \cdot \sigma_t^{-1}]$  as required.  $\square$

We will let  $1 \in R$  be a fixed 0-definable positive element of  $R$  and denote the element  $n \cdot 1$  of the group  $(R, 0, +)$  by  $n$ . By Proposition 3.4, we will identify  $\pi_1(G)$  with a subset of  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq R$  and thus, assuming that  $G \subseteq R^l$ ,

$$O_{(s, [\gamma])} := O_s \times \{[\gamma]\}$$

is a definable subset of  $R^{l+1}$  and  $O := \cup\{O_{(s, [\gamma])} : (s, [\gamma]) \in S \times \pi_1(G)\}$  is a locally definable subset of  $R^{l+1}$ .

Let  $\{(s_i, l_j) : (i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$  be an enumeration of  $S \times \pi_1(G)$ . Define inductively (on  $i$ ) the sets  $N_i, O'_{(s_i, l_j)}$  and  $V_{(s_i, l_j)}$  in the following way:

$$N_0 = \emptyset \text{ and } O'_{(s_0, l_j)} = V_{(s_0, l_j)} = O_{(s_0, l_j)};$$

assuming that  $N_i, O'_{(s_i, l_j)}$  and  $V_{(s_i, l_j)}$  were already defined, put

$$N_{i+1} = \{n : n < i+1 \text{ and } O_{s_{i+1}} \cap O_{s_n} \neq \emptyset\};$$

$O'_{(s_{i+1}, l_j)} = O_{(s_{i+1}, l_j)} \setminus \cup\{C \times \{l_j\} : C \text{ is a definably } \tau\text{-connected component of } O_{s_{i+1}} \cap O_{s_n}, n \in N_{i+1} \text{ and } (\phi_{s_{i+1}} \circ \phi_{s_n}^{-1})|_{C \times \{l_j\}}(o, l_C) = (o, l_j)\};$

$V_{(s_{i+1}, l_j)} = O'_{(s_{i+1}, l_j)} \cup \cup\{V_{(s_n, l_C)}^C : C \text{ is a definably } \tau\text{-connected component of } O_{s_{i+1}} \cap O_{s_n}, n \in N_{i+1} \text{ and } (\phi_{s_{i+1}} \circ \phi_{s_n}^{-1})|_{C \times \{l_C\}}(o, l_C) = (o, l_j)\},$  where  $V_{(s_n, l_C)}^C = \{x \in V_{(s_n, l_C)} : x = (o, l) \text{ with } o \in C\}$ .<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>We wish to thank here Elias Baro (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid) for pointing out an imprecision on an early version of our inductive construction.

By Claim 3.6, the sets  $V_{(s_i, l_j)}$  are well defined definable subsets of  $R^{l+1}$ .

**Claim 3.7** *Let  $V = \cup\{V_{(s_i, l_j)} : (i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $V$  is a locally definable set and the surjective map  $v : V \rightarrow G$  given by the projection onto the first coordinate is a locally definable covering map, i.e., for each  $i$ , we have:*

- (1)  $v^{-1}(O_{s_i}) = \cup\{V_{(s_i, l_j)} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  (disjoint union);
- (2)  $v|_{V_{(s_i, l_j)}}$  is a definable bijection onto  $O_{s_i}$ .

**Proof.** This follows by induction on the definition of the definable sets  $V_{(s_i, l_j)}$  together with Claim 3.6.  $\square$

Fix  $s_{e_G} \in S$  such that  $e_G \in O_{s_{e_G}}$  and assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_{s_{e_G}} = \epsilon_{e_G}$  (the trivial  $\tau$ -loop at  $e_G$ , see page 7). Let  $e_V = (e_G, [\epsilon_{e_G}]) \in V$ .

**Claim 3.8** *Let  $(o, [\gamma]) \in V$  and suppose that  $\lambda : [0, q_\lambda] \rightarrow G$  is a  $\tau$ -path such that  $\lambda(0) = e_G$ ,  $\lambda(q_\lambda) = o$  and  $\phi_s(\langle \lambda \rangle) = (o, [\gamma])$ . Then there exists a  $v$ -path  $\tilde{\lambda} : [0, q_{\tilde{\lambda}}] \rightarrow V$  in  $V$  such that  $\tilde{\lambda}(0) = e_V$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}(q_{\tilde{\lambda}}) = (o, [\gamma])$  and  $v \circ \tilde{\lambda} = \lambda$ . In particular,  $V$  is  $v$ -path connected and the  $o$ -minimal fundamental group  $\pi_1(V)$  of  $V$  with respect to the  $v$ -topology is trivial.*

**Proof.** By saturation and  $o$ -minimality there exists a minimal  $k$  for which we can choose points  $0 = t(0) < t(1) < \dots < t(k) < t(k+1) = q_\lambda$  such that for each  $j = 0, \dots, k$ , we have  $\lambda([t(j), t(j+1)]) \subseteq O_{s(j)}$  for some  $s(j) \in S$ .

We prove the result by induction on  $k$ . If  $k = 0$ , then  $\lambda([0, q_\lambda]) \subseteq O_{s(0)}$  and  $[\gamma] = [\epsilon_{e_G}]$ , and we put  $\tilde{\lambda} := (v|_{V_{(s(0), [\epsilon_{e_G}])}})^{-1} \circ \lambda$ . For the inductive step let  $\eta := \lambda|_{[0, t(k)]}$  and  $\delta : [0, q_\lambda - t(k)] \rightarrow O_{s(k)} : t \mapsto \lambda(t + t(k))$ . By the induction hypothesis, let  $\tilde{\eta} : [0, t(k)] \rightarrow V$  be a  $v$ -path such that  $\tilde{\eta}(0) = e_V$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}(t(k)) = (\eta(t(k)), [\gamma'])$  and  $v \circ \tilde{\eta} = \eta$ , where  $\phi_{s(k-1)}(\langle \eta \rangle) = (\eta(t(k)), [\gamma'])$ . Assume that  $s(k)$  appear after  $s(k-1)$  in the enumeration of  $S$  introduced before. The other case is treated symmetrically. If  $\phi_{s(k)}(\langle \eta \rangle) = (\eta(t(k)), [\gamma''])$ , then  $(\eta(t(k)), [\gamma'])$  and  $(\eta(t(k)), [\gamma''])$  are the same point in  $V_{(s(k), [\gamma''])}$ . Since  $\lambda = \eta \cdot \delta$  and  $\pi_1(O_{s(k)}) = 1$  (Proposition 3.3), we have  $[\gamma] = [\gamma'']$ . Thus, if  $\tilde{\delta} := (v|_{V_{(s(k), [\gamma''])}})^{-1} \circ \delta$ , then  $\tilde{\eta}(t(k)) = \tilde{\delta}(0)$ , and  $\tilde{\lambda} := \tilde{\eta} \cdot \tilde{\delta}$  satisfies the claim. So, in particular,  $V$  is  $v$ -path connected.

By Lemma 2.7, any  $v$ -loop  $\delta$  in  $V$  at  $e_V$  is the unique lifting  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of a  $\tau$ -loop  $\lambda = v \circ \delta$  in  $G$  at  $e_G$  as defined in the previous paragraph. So we see that  $(e_G, [\epsilon_{e_G}]) = e_V = \tilde{\lambda}(0)$  and  $e_V = \tilde{\lambda}(q_{\tilde{\lambda}}) = (e_G, [\lambda])$ . This implies that  $[\lambda] = 1$  and so  $v_*([\tilde{\lambda}]) = [\lambda] = 1$ . Therefore, since by Proposition 2.10 (i),  $v_* : \pi_1(V) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)$  is injective, it follows that  $\pi_1(V) = 1$ .  $\square$

Our next goal is to make the locally definable covering map  $v : V \rightarrow G$  into a locally definable covering homomorphism. For this we will need the following claim:

**Claim 3.9** *Let  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  be either  $v : V \rightarrow G$  or  $(v, v) : V \times V \rightarrow G \times G$ , and let  $e_Y$  be  $e_V$  or  $(e_V, e_V)$  respectively, and  $e_X$  be  $e_G$  or  $(e_G, e_G)$  respectively. Suppose that  $g : X \rightarrow G$  is a continuous locally definable map such that  $g(e_X) = e_G$ . Then there is a unique continuous locally definable map  $\tilde{g} : Y \rightarrow V$  such that  $\tilde{g}(e_Y) = e_V$  and  $v \circ \tilde{g} = g \circ h$ .*

**Proof.** The uniqueness of such a locally definable lifting  $\tilde{g}$  of  $g \circ h$  follows from Lemma 2.11. To construct  $\tilde{g} : Y \rightarrow V$  we will use the fact that  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  is a locally definable covering map, and by Lemma 2.5 and Claim 3.8,  $\pi_1(V \times V) \simeq \pi_1(V) \times \pi_1(V) = 1$ . We will also use the notation introduced right after Lemma 2.7.

Let  $\{U_l : l \in L\}$  be either  $\{O_s : s \in S\}$  or  $\{O_s \times O_t : s, t \in S\}$ . Let  $f = g \circ h : Y \rightarrow G$  and for each  $l \in L$ , let  $\{V_i^l : i \in I_l\}$  be the definably  $h$ -connected components of  $f^{-1}(U_l)$ . For all  $l \in L$ ,  $i \in I_l$ , choose  $y_i^l \in V_i^l$  such that if  $e_Y \in V_i^l$  then  $e_Y = y_i^l$ , and let  $\eta_i^l$  be an  $h$ -path in  $Y$  from  $e_Y$  to  $y_i^l$ . Since each  $V_i^l$  is definably  $h$ -connected, by Lemma 2.9 there is a uniformly definable family  $\{\gamma_i^l(w) : w \in V_i^l\}$  of  $h$ -paths in  $V_i^l$  from  $y_i^l$  to  $w$ . For  $w \in V_i^l$ , let  $\delta_i^l(w)$  be the  $h$ -path  $\eta_i^l \cdot \gamma_i^l(w)$  from  $e_Y$  to  $w$ . Let  $\sigma_i^l(w) = f \circ \delta_i^l(w)$  and put  $f(w) = e_Y * \sigma_i^l(w)$ .

If  $w \in V_i^l \cap V_j^k$  then we have another  $h$ -path  $\delta_j^k(w)$  from  $e_Y$  to  $w$  obtained from  $V_j^k$ , and  $f \circ (\delta_j^k(w) \cdot (\delta_i^l(w))^{-1}) = \sigma_j^k(w) \cdot (\sigma_i^l(w))^{-1}$  is a  $\tau$ -path from  $e_G$  to  $e_G$ . By hypothesis,  $[\sigma_j^k(w) \cdot (\sigma_i^l(w))^{-1}] \in f_*(\pi_1(Y)) = 1$  and by Remark 2.8 (2),  $e_Y * \sigma_i^l(w) = e_Y * \sigma_j^k(w)$  and so  $\tilde{f}$  is well defined. Note that the same argument shows that  $\tilde{f}$  does not depend on the choice of the points  $y_i^l \in V_i^l$  or of the  $h$ -paths  $\eta_i^l$ .

We now show that  $\tilde{f}$  is a locally definable map. For this it is enough to show that  $\tilde{f}|_{V_i^l}$  is a definable map since by saturation any definable subset of  $Y$  is contained in a finite union of  $V_i^l$ 's. But for  $w \in V_i^l$ , we have  $\tilde{f}(w) = e_Y * \sigma_i^l(w)$  which is the endpoint of the lifting  $\widetilde{\sigma_j^k(w)}$  of  $\sigma_j^k(w)$  starting at  $e_Y$ . Since  $\sigma_j^k(w) = (f \circ \eta_i^l) \cdot (f \circ \gamma_i^l(w))$ ,  $\tilde{f}(w)$  is the endpoint of the lifting  $\widetilde{f \circ \gamma_i^l(w)}$  of  $f \circ \gamma_i^l(w)$  starting at the endpoint  $\widetilde{f \circ \eta_i^l(q_{\eta_i^l})}$  of the lifting  $\widetilde{f \circ \eta_i^l}$  of  $f \circ \eta_i^l$ . Thus, if  $W_i^l$  is a  $v$ -open subset of  $v^{-1}(O_l)$  such that  $v|_{W_i^l} : W_i^l \rightarrow O_l$  is a definable homeomorphism and  $\widetilde{f \circ \eta_i^l(q_{\eta_i^l})} \in W_i^l$ , then  $\tilde{f}(w) = ((v|_{W_i^l})^{-1} \circ (f \circ \gamma_i^l(w)))(q_{\gamma_i^l(w)})$  where  $q_{\gamma_i^l(w)}$  is the end point of the domain of  $\gamma_i^l(w)$ .

To finish we need to show that  $\tilde{g} := \tilde{f}$  is continuous. For this we use  $v \circ \tilde{g} = g \circ h = f$  (which is immediate from the above characterization of  $\tilde{f}(w)$ ) and the fact that, as remarked after Definition 2.2,  $v : V \rightarrow G$  is an open mapping.  $\square$

Let  $\mu : G \times G \rightarrow G$  and  $\iota : G \rightarrow G$  be the multiplication and the inverse in  $G$ . Let  $\tilde{\mu} : V \times V \rightarrow V$  and  $\tilde{\iota} : V \rightarrow V$  be the unique continuous locally definable maps given by Claim 3.9.

**Claim 3.10**  $(V, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\iota}, e_V)$  is a locally definable group and  $v : V \rightarrow G$  is a locally definable covering homomorphism.

**Proof.** We have that  $\tilde{\mu} \circ (\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_V)$  and  $\tilde{\mu} \circ (\text{id}_V \times \tilde{\mu})$  are the liftings of the same continuous locally definable map  $\mu \circ (\mu \times \text{id}_G) = \mu \circ (\text{id}_G \times \mu)$  and they coincide at  $(e_V, e_V, e_V)$ . Thus by Lemma 2.11, we have  $\tilde{\mu} \circ (\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_V) = \tilde{\mu} \circ (\text{id}_V \times \tilde{\mu})$  and so  $(V, \tilde{\mu})$  is a locally definable semigroup. Similarly, we see that  $\tilde{\mu} \circ (\tilde{\iota} \times \text{id}_V) \circ \Delta_V = e_V = \tilde{\mu} \circ (\text{id}_V \times \tilde{\iota}) \circ \Delta_V$  and  $\tilde{\mu} \circ i_1^V = \text{id}_V = \tilde{\mu} \circ i_2^V$  where  $\Delta_V : V \rightarrow V \times V$  is the diagonal map,  $i_1^V : V \rightarrow V \times V : v \mapsto (v, e_V)$  and  $i_2^V : V \rightarrow V \times V : v \mapsto (e_V, v)$ . Thus  $(V, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\iota}, e_V)$  is a locally definable group as required. Since  $v \circ \tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ (v, v)$  and  $v \circ \tilde{\iota} = \iota \circ v$ , it follows that  $v : V \rightarrow G$  is a locally definable homomorphism which must be a locally definable covering homomorphism since it is also a locally definable covering map.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of the paper (Theorem 1.4 in the introduction is a special case of this):

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $G$  be a definably  $\tau$ -connected locally definable group. Then the o-minimal universal covering homomorphism  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is a locally definable covering homomorphism and  $\pi_1(G)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi(\tilde{G})$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $q : K \rightarrow V$  is a locally definable covering homomorphism. Then from Propositions 2.10 and 2.12 we obtain  $\text{Ker}q \simeq \text{Aut}(K/V) \simeq \pi_1(V)/q_*(\pi_1(K)) = 1$  since  $\pi_1(V) = 1$ , by Claim 3.8. So  $q : K \rightarrow V$  is a locally definable isomorphism (since it is surjective). Consequently, by [3] Lemma 3.8, the set of all  $h : H \rightarrow G$  in  $\text{Cov}^0(G)$  which are locally definably isomorphic to  $v : V \rightarrow G$  is cofinal in  $\text{Cov}^0(G)$  and hence the inverse limit  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is isomorphic to  $v : V \rightarrow G$ . By Propositions 2.10 and 2.12 we obtain  $\pi(G) \simeq \text{Ker}v \simeq \text{Aut}(V/G) \simeq \pi_1(G)$  since  $\pi_1(V) = 1$ . Thus the result holds as required.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 1.5:** Let  $G$  be a definably  $t$ -connected definable group. By Proposition 3.4,  $\pi_1(G)$  is finitely generated and, by the isomorphism  $\pi_1(G) \simeq \pi(G)$  (Theorem 3.11) and [3] Proposition 3.11,  $\pi_1(G)$  is abelian. If  $G$  is abelian, then by [12] the assumptions of [3] Theorem 3.15 hold for  $G$ . Therefore we have  $\pi_1(G) \simeq \pi(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^l$  and  $G[k] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^l$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  as required.

## References

- [1] A. Berarducci and M. Otero *O-minimal fundamental group, homology and manifolds* J. London Math. Soc. **65** (2) (2002) 257–270.
- [2] C. T. J. Dodson and P. E. Parker *A User's Guide to Algebraic Topology* Kluwer Academic Press 1997.
- [3] M. Edmundo *Covers of groups definable in o-minimal structures* Illinois J. Math. **49** (1) (2005) 99–120.
- [4] M. Edmundo and M. Otero *Definably compact abelian groups* J. Math. Logic **4** (2) (2004) 163–180.
- [5] P. Eleftheriou and S. Starchenko *Groups definable in ordered vector spaces over ordered division rings* J. Symb. Logic (to appear).
- [6] L. van den Dries *Tame Topology and o-minimal Structures* Cambridge University Press 1998.
- [7] A. Hatcher *Algebraic Topology* Cambridge University Press 2002.
- [8] A. Onshuus *Groups definable in  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, <)$*  Preprint 2005.
- [9] Y. Peterzil and S. Starchenko *Definable homomorphisms of abelian groups definable in o-minimal structures* Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **101** (1) (1999) 1–27.
- [10] A. Pillay *On groups and fields definable in o-minimal structures* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **53** (1988) 239–255.
- [11] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii *Profinite Groups* A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Volume 40, Springer 2000.

[12] A.Strzebonski *Euler characteristic in semialgebraic and other o-minimal groups* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **96** (1994) 173–201.