

# STABLE DIVISION RINGS

CÉDRIC MILLIET

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a stable division ring with positive characteristic has finite dimension over its centre. This is then extended to simple division rings.

Macintyre proved any  $\omega$ -stable field to be either finite or algebraically closed [7]. This was generalised by Cherlin and Shelah to superstable fields [3]. It follows that a superstable division ring is a field [2]. The result was broadened to supersimple division rings by Pillay, Scanlon and Wagner in [8]. As for stable fields, infinite ones are conjectured to be separably closed. Scanlon proved that an infinite stable field has no Artin-Schreier extension [10]. Wagner adapted the argument to show that a simple field has only finitely many Artin-Schreier extensions [6]. Proving commutativity usually goes in two steps, showing first that the ring viewed as a vector space over its centre must have finite dimension, and proving that the centre cannot have skew extensions of finite degree. Concerning a stable division ring, at least can we show that in positive characteristic, it must have finite dimension over its centre. This also holds for a simple division ring.

## 1. ONE WORD ON STABLE STRUCTURES

In a given theory  $T$ , a formula  $f(x, y)$  is said to have the *order property* if it totally orders an infinite sequence, i.e. if there exists an infinite sequence  $a_1, a_2 \dots$  such that

$$T \models f(a_i, a_j) \text{ if and only if } i < j$$

The formula  $f$  has the *strict order property* if it defines a partial ordering with infinite chains, i.e. if there exists an infinite sequence  $a_1, a_2 \dots$  such that

$$T \models \bigwedge_{i < j} f(a_i, a_j) \wedge a_i \neq a_j$$

If a formula has the strict order property, it has the order property.

**Definition 1.** A theory is *stable* if no formula has the order property. A structure is *stable* if its theory is so.

We refer to [9] and [12] for details about stable groups. We just recall that to any formula  $f(x, y)$  in a group without the strict order property is associated an integer  $n$ , such that any strictly decreasing chain of subgroups defined by formulae  $f(x, a_1), \dots, f(x, a_m)$  have no more than  $n$  elements. Moreover :

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03C45, 03C60, 16K20.

*Key words and phrases.* Division ring, stable theory, simple theory.

The results of this paper form part of the author's doctoral dissertation, written in Lyon under the supervision of professor Frank O. Wagner.

**Fact 1.** (Baldwin-Saxl [1]) *In a stable group, to any formula  $f(x, y)$  is associated an integer  $n$ , so that the intersection of any family of subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_m, \dots$  defined by formulae  $f(x, a_1), \dots, f(x, a_m), \dots$  be the intersection of no more than  $n$  among them.*

Therefore, any strictly monotone chain of centralisers in a stable group is finite.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $G$  be a group without the strict order property, and  $f$  a group homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G$ . If there is a fixed formula  $f(x, y)$  so that each iterated image of  $f$  is definable by some formula  $f(x, a_i)$ , then  $G$  equals the product  $\text{Ker} f^n \cdot \text{Im} f^n$  for some integer  $n$ . Consequently, if  $f$  is injective, it is onto.*

*Proof.* As the iterated images of  $f$  are uniformly definable, they become stationary at some rank  $n$ . □

## 2. STABLE DIVISION RINGS

**Theorem 3.** *A stable division ring of positive characteristic must have finite dimension over its centre.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be this ring,  $p$  its characteristic,  $a$  an element outside the centre, and  $f_a$  the map mapping an element  $x$  of the ring to  $x^a - x$ .

(1) *The iterated images and kernels of  $f$  become stationary :* since

$$f_a^{p^n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p^n} (-1)^{p^n-k} C_{p^n}^k x^{a^k} = x^{a^{p^n}} - x = f_{a^{p^n}}(x)$$

a sub-chain of the iterated images is uniformly definable : the iterated images become stationary by stability. The same argument holds for the iterated kernels.

(2) *The map  $f$  is not onto :* if it were, since the kernel is non-trivial, the sequence of iterated kernels would be properly ascending, a contradiction.

(3)  *$D$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $C(a)$  :* after Proposition 2, there is an integer  $m$  such that

$$D = \text{Ker} f^m + \text{Im} f^m$$

Note that this is a direct sum. Let  $H$  be the image of  $f^m$  ; increasing  $m$ , we may assume the kernel of  $f^m$  to be  $C(a^m)$ . Let  $I$  be a minimal intersection of left translates of  $H$  by non-zero elements of  $D$  ; this is a proper left ideal of  $D$  and hence zero. However, by Fact 1 the intersection is a finite intersection, say of size  $n$ . After [4, Corollary 2 p. 49], the dimension of  $C(a^m)$  over  $C(a)$  is the same as the dimension of  $Z(C(a^m))(a)$  over  $Z(C(a^m))$ , so  $H$  is a vector space over  $C(a)$  having codimension at most  $m$ , and  $I$  has codimension at most  $m \cdot n$ .

(4) To conclude, let  $D < D_1 < \dots < D_n < D_{n+1}$  be a chain of centralisers, with  $D_n$  minimal non commutative. The ring  $D$  has finite dimension, say  $l$ , over the field  $D_{n+1}$ . According to [4, Corollary 2 p. 49], the dimension of  $D$  over its centre must be no greater than  $l^2$ . □

*Remark 4.* The centre of an infinite stable division ring must be infinite. In positive characteristic, it contains the algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{F}_p$  according to [10] : every element of finite order lies in the centre.

3. SIMPLE DIVISION RINGS

We do not define here what a simple theory is, but refer to [13] for more information. We shall just need the following facts. Recall that two subgroups of a given group are *commensurable* if the index of their intersection is finite in both of them.

**Fact 2.** (Schlichting [11, 13]) *Let  $G$  be a group and  $\mathfrak{H}$  a family of uniformly commensurable subgroups. There is a subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  commensurable with members of  $\mathfrak{H}$  and invariant under the action of the automorphisms group of  $G$  stabilising the family  $\mathfrak{H}$  setwise. If the members of  $\mathfrak{H}$  are definable, so is  $N$ .*

**Fact 3.** (Wagner [13]) *In a simple group, a descending chain of intersections of a family  $H_1, H_2 \dots$  of subgroups defined respectively by formulae  $f(x, a_1), f(x, a_2) \dots$  where  $f(x, y)$  is a fixed formula, becomes stationary, up to finite index.*

*Remark 5.* If  $D_1 < D_2$  are two infinite division rings, the additive index of  $D_1$  in  $D_2$  is infinite. As a consequence, in a simple division ring, any descending chain of centralisers becomes stationary.

**Fact 4.** *In a simple structure, no formula has the strict order property.*

**Theorem 6.** *A simple ring of positive characteristic must have finite dimension over its centre.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be this ring,  $p$  its characteristic,  $a$  an element outside the centre, and  $f_a$  mapping  $x$  to  $x^a - x$ .

(1) *The iterated images and kernels of  $f$  become stationary, and  $f$  is not onto :* as in the stable case by Fact 4.

(2) *The centraliser of  $a$  is infinite :* we may assume the order of  $a$  to be finite, and even a prime, say  $q$ . According to [5, Lemma 3.1.1], there is an element  $x$  of finite order such that  $axa^{-1}$  equals  $a^i$  but not  $a$ . Fermat's Theorem asserts that  $i^{q-1}$  equals one modulo  $q$ , so  $x^{q-1}$  and  $a$  commute :  $C(a)$  is infinite, as it contains  $x^{q-1}$ .

(3)  *$D$  is a vector space over  $C(a)$  having finite dimension :* according to Proposition 2, we get

$$D = \text{Ker } f^m + \text{Im } f^m$$

Let  $H$  stand for the image of  $f^m$ , and assume its kernel to be  $C(a^m)$ . Set  $N$  a minimal intersection up to finite index of non-zero left translates of  $H$  ; by Fact 3, it has finite size, say  $n$ . Consider the set  $\mathfrak{H}$  of non-zero left translates of  $N$ . This is a uniformly commensurable invariant family ; by Fact 2, there is an additive invariant subgroup  $I$  commensurable with  $N$ . So  $I$  is a proper ideal, whence zero, and  $N$  must be finite. Since it is a right vector space over  $C(a)$ , it is actually zero. We conclude as in the stable case that  $D$  has finite dimension over  $C(a)$ , and over its centre by Remark 5. □

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $K$  be an infinite field, and  $f$  a field morphism of  $K$ . Let  $F$  be the set of points fixed by  $f$ . Let  $P$  be a polynomial splitting in  $F$ , and suppose that the iterated compositions  $P(f)^n$  be uniformly definable. If  $K$  is simple, either  $K$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ , or the image of  $P(f)$  has finite index in  $K^+$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $K$  to be infinite. Let  $(X - a_i)^{n_i}$  be the splitting factors of  $f$ . Note that  $\text{Ker } P(f)$  equals the sum  $\bigoplus_i \text{Ker}(f - a_i \cdot \text{id})^{n_i}$ , each factor  $\text{Ker}(f - a_i \cdot \text{id})^{n_i}$

having dimension at most  $n_i$  over  $F$ . According to Proposition 2, the field  $K$  equals  $\text{Ker}P(f)^m + \text{Im}P(f)^m$ . Let  $H$  be the image of  $P(f)^m$ , and  $N$  a minimal intersection up to finite index of non-zero translates of  $H$ . Note that if  $N$  is finite, there is a minimal intersection which is a proper ideal, hence zero. By Fact 3,  $N$  is a finite intersection, say of size  $n$ . Write  $\mathfrak{H}$  the set of non-zero translates of  $N$ . According to Fact 2, there is an additive invariant subgroup  $I$  of  $K$ , commensurable with  $N$ . So  $I$  is an ideal of  $K$ . If  $I$  is the whole of  $K$ , the image of  $P(f)$  has finite index in  $K^+$ ; should  $F$  be infinite, the map  $P(f)$  would be onto as its image is a vector space over  $F$ . Otherwise,  $I$  is zero, and so is  $N$ . But  $H$  is a vector space over  $F$  having finite codimension, say  $r$ , so  $N$  has codimension at most  $r \cdot n$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] John Baldwin and Jan Saxl, *Logical stability in group theory*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **21**, 3, 267–276, 1976.
- [2] Gregory Cherlin, *Super stable division rings*, Logic Colloquium '77, North Holland, 99–111, 1978.
- [3] Gregory Cherlin and Saharon Shelah, *Superstable fields and groups*, Annals of Mathematical Logic **18**, 3, 227–270, 1980.
- [4] Paul M. Cohn, *Skew fields constructions*, Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- [5] Israel N. Herstein, *Noncommutative Rings*, The Mathematical Association of America, fourth edition, 1996.
- [6] Itay Kaplan, Thomas Scanlon and Frank O. Wagner, *Artin-Schreier extensions in dependent and simple fields*, to be published.
- [7] Angus Macintyre, *On  $\omega_1$ -categorical theories of fields*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **71**, 1, 1–25, 1971.
- [8] Anand Pillay, Thomas Scanlon and Frank O. Wagner, *Supersimple fields and division rings*, Mathematical Research Letters **5**, 473–483, 1998.
- [9] Bruno Poizat, *Groupes Stables*, Nur Al-Mantiq Wal-Ma'rifah, 1987.
- [10] Thomas Scanlon, *Infinite stable fields are Artin-Schreier closed*, unpublished, 1999.
- [11] Günter Schlichting, *Operationen mit periodischen Stabilisatoren*, Archiv der Mathematik **34**, 97–99, Basel, 1980.
- [12] Frank O. Wagner, *Stable groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [13] Frank O. Wagner, *Simple Theories*, Mathematics and its Applications, 503. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.

*Current address*, Cédric Milliet: Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, Institut Camille Jordan UMR 5208 CNRS, 43 boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

*E-mail address*, Cédric Milliet: milliet@math.univ-lyon1.fr