

MRTN-CT-2004-512234
MODNET
Model Theory and Applications

**MI.1: Study of hierarchy of n -simplicity
and progress report on profinite structures**

Period number: 1

Due date of deliverable: 30/12/05

Period covered: from 1/01/05 to 30/12/05

Date of preparation: 04/03/06

Date of submission: (SESAM)

Start date of project: 1/01/05

Duration: 48 months

Project coordinator name: David Evans

Project coordinator organisation name:
UEA, Norwich, UK.

Organisation name of lead contractor for this deliverable:
Université Claude Bernard, Lyon I, France.

Project Co-Funded by the European Commission within the Sixth Framework Programme (2002-2006)		
Dissemination in level		
PU	Public	
PP	Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)	
RE	Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)	
CO	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	

Report on Workpackage MI: Pure Model Theory

In the following, members of Network are identified by an asterisk (*) when first mentioned; external experts and collaborators who were identified as having a close involvement with the project in the original proposal are identified by a double asterisk (**).

Task I.1: Theoretical stability and simplicity

Report on Task I.1.f: Study the hierarchy stable $\Rightarrow \omega$ -simple $\Rightarrow (n + 1)$ -simple $\Rightarrow n$ -simple \Rightarrow simple

In early versions of his paper [6] Kolesnikov defined graded strengthenings of simplicity, called n -simplicity (where simple = 1-simple), and gave a series of examples to show that the hierarchy

$$\text{stable} \Rightarrow \omega\text{-simple} \Rightarrow (n + 1)\text{-simple} \Rightarrow n\text{-simple} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \text{simple}$$

is strict. However, it was pointed out by Wagner* (Lyon I) that this definition is not preserved under adding imaginaries. The search for a better definition led Tristram de Piro* (Modnet ER, Camerino), Byunghan Kim and Jessica Young in [3] to study the notion of n -amalgamation already introduced by Hrushovski** (Jerusalem) in [4] under the name of $\mathcal{P}(n)^-$ -amalgamation. Namely, given sets A_I for all $I \subset n$ and elementary maps $\pi_J^I : A_I \rightarrow A_J$ for $I \subseteq J$ such that $\pi_I^I = \text{id}_{A_I}$ and $\pi_K^J \circ \pi_J^I = \pi_K^I$ for all $I \subseteq J \subseteq K$, satisfying

- $\{\pi_I^{\{i\}}(A_{\{i\}}) : i \in I\}$ is independent over A_\emptyset , and
- $A_I = \text{bdd}(\pi_I^{\{i\}}(A_{\{i\}}) : i \in I)$ for all $I \subset n$,

we can find A_n and elementary embeddings π_n^I for $I \subset n$ such that the above conditions still hold. Then 3-amalgamation is equivalent to the independence theorem, so holds in any simple theory. T has *complete n -amalgamation* if it has m -amalgamation for all $m \leq n$. They prove that Kolesnikov's examples show that the hierarchy is again strict, and that n -amalgamation does not necessarily imply m -amalgamation for $m < n$. They prove that the random graph has complete ω -amalgamation, as do stable theories, provided the base set A_\emptyset is a model. Additionally, Hrushovski [5] shows that every pseudo-algebraically closed structure has complete ω -amalgamation, and Chatzidakis* (Paris 7) and Hrushovski [2] show complete ω -amalgamation for the theory of existentially closed fields with an automorphism in characteristic zero. Furthermore, Hrushovski gives an example of a stable theory which does not have 4-amalgamation over algebraically closed sets, and proves

that if a stable theory eliminates generalized finite imaginaries, then it has 4-amalgamation.

De Piro, Kim and Young then prove a hyperimaginary group configuration theorem for simple theories with complete 4-amalgamation over models. Recall that Ben Yaacov, Tomašić (former Marie Curie EIF, Lyon I) and Wagner had previously shown in [1] an *almost* hyperdefinable group configuration theorem: Given a hyperimaginary tuple $(f_1, f_2, f_3, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ over a hyperimaginary e such that

- (1) $f_i \in \text{bdd}(f_j, f_k, e)$,
- (2) $x_i \in \text{bdd}(f_j, x_k, e)$, and
- (3) all other triples and all pairs are independent over e ,

then there is an almost hyperdefinable group. So the added assumption of complete 4-amalgamation yields a group with better definability properties (hyperdefinable, i.e. the elements are classes modulo a type-definable equivalence relation) rather than merely *almost* hyperdefinable.

Task I.3: Topological Methods in model theory

Intermediate Report on Task I.3.a: Develop the model theory of the recent notion of a profinite structure, find examples

Profinite structures and groups were introduced by Ludomir Newelski* (Wrocław) [10, 11] in analogy with stability; he conjectured that a *small* (with only countably many orbits under the automorphism group on n -tuples for all n) profinite group have an open abelian subgroup. This was shown by Wagner [12] for a particular case (m -stable, which corresponds to superstability). Conversely, Krupiński* (Wrocław) proves that infinite products of finite abelian groups of bounded exponent, with the inverse system given by the subgroups with trivial first n coordinates, are small and even m -stable and m -normal (the analogue of one-based) [7]; he then generalizes this to arbitrary profinite abelian groups of finite exponent with inverse system indexed by ω . He also obtains similar results for such groups with added structure (i.e. smaller automorphism group) [8].

In joint work [9] with Wagner, he then studies small profinite rings. They prove that such rings have an open Jacobson radical, which is nil of finite nil exponent; they conjecture in analogy to the group case that it must be nilpotent, and even have an open null ideal. The latter is proven in the m -stable case. They also give an example of a small profinite ring with nowhere dense annihilator, and show that

any expansion of a small profinite additive (abelian) group to a small profinite ring with open annihilator is still small.

References

- [1] Itai Ben-Yaacov, Ivan Tomašić and Frank Wagner. Constructing an almost hyperdefinable group. *J. Math. Logic*, 4(2):181-212, 2005.
- [2] Zoé Chatzidakis and Ehud Hrushovski. Model theory of difference fields. *Trans. AMS*, 351:2997–3071, 1999.
- [3] Tristram de Piro, Byunghan Kim and Jessica Young. Constructing the hyperdefinable group from the group configuration. Submitted to *J. Math. Logic*.
- [4] Ehud Hrushovski. Simplicity and the Lascar group. *Preprint*.
- [5] Ehud Hrushovski. Pseudo-finite fields and related structures. To appear in *Quaderni di Matematica*.
- [6] Alexei Kolesnikov. n -simple theories. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 131:227–261, 2005
- [7] Krzysztof Krupiński. Products of finite abelian groups as profinite groups. *J. Alg.*, 288:556-582, 2005.
- [8] Krzysztof Krupiński. Abelian profinite groups. *Fund. Math.*, 185:41-59, 2005.
- [9] Krzysztof Krupiński and Frank Wagner. Small profinite rings. To appear in *J. Alg.*
- [10] Ludomir Newelski. Small profinite groups. *J. Symb. Logic*, 66:859-872, 2001.
- [11] Ludomir Newelski. Small profinite structures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354:925–943, 2002.
- [12] Frank Wagner. Small profinite m -stable groups. *Fund. Math.*, 176:181–191, 2003.