

# Some results and problems on complex germs with definable Mittag-Leffler stars

A.J. Wilkie  
School of Mathematics  
The Alan Turing Building  
University of Manchester  
Manchester M13 9PL  
UK

June 18, 2012

## Abstract

Working in an o-minimal expansion of the real field, we investigate when a germ (around 0 say) of a complex analytic function has a definable analytic continuation to its Mittag-Leffler star.

As an application we show that any algebro-logarithmic function that is complex analytic in a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$  has an analytic continuation to all but finitely many points in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

It is my pleasure to dedicate this paper to Anand Pillay on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is motivated by the conjecture of Zilber stating that every  $\mathbb{C}_{exp}$ -definable subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  is either countable or co-countable. Here,  $\mathbb{C}_{exp}$  is the expansion of the ring of complex numbers by the complex exponential function. As far as I know, even sets of the form

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \exists w \in \mathbb{C} F(z, w) = 0\} \quad (*)$$

where  $F(z, w)$  is a (two variable) term of the language  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}_{exp})$  have not been shown to satisfy Zilber's conjecture.

Our approach to this particular case is as follows. Let us suppose that

$$F(0, 0) = 0 \neq \frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(0, 0).$$

Then by the implicit function theorem there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and a complex analytic function  $\phi : \Delta(0; \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (where, in general,  $\Delta(a; r)$  denotes the disk centred at  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and having radius  $r$ ) such that for all  $z \in \Delta(0; \epsilon)$ , we have  $F(z, \phi(z)) = 0$ . We must show that the set  $(*)$  is co-countable and it seems reasonable to conjecture that the function element  $\phi$  has an analytic continuation (which necessarily preserves the equation  $F(z, \phi(z)) = 0$ ) to all but countably many points in the complex plane. Indeed, one can fairly easily show that if one proves a suitably generalized version of this *analytic continuation conjecture* (in which  $w$  is allowed to be an  $n$ -tuple of variables and  $F$  an  $n$ -tuple of terms in the  $1+n$  variables  $z, w$ , and where the countably many exceptional points have a certain specific form) then Zilber's conjecture (even for subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by formulas of the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}(\mathbb{C}_{exp})$ ) would follow.

Let us now consider issues of definability. The approach to Zilber's conjecture suggested above transcends  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}_{exp})$ -definability (at least, if Zilber's conjecture is true!): one cannot define *restricted* functions  $\phi : \Delta(0; \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  without the resource of the real line and the usual metric. So we follow the Peterzil-Starchenko idea of doing complex analysis definably in a suitable o-minimal structure via the usual identifications  $\mathbb{C} \sim \mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R} \sim \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Actually, we will only be considering a fixed o-minimal expansion  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  of the ordered field of real numbers  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , so many of the subtleties of [4] will not be required here. But the uniform finiteness of the winding number for definable functions will be, and this was inspired by the Peterzil-Starchenko approach.

My aim in this paper, then, is to consider definable analytic continuation relative to an o-minimal expansion  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . I shall only consider continuations along straight line paths emanating from the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ , so let me discuss this now. The mathematical theory (i.e. without definability considerations) may be found in [1] and [3], but in very few modern texts as far as I can see.

## 2 The Mittag-Leffler star

So consider any complex analytic function  $\phi : \Delta(0; \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The *Mittag-Leffler star* of  $\phi$  (henceforth just the *star* of  $\phi$ ), denoted  $S_\phi$ , is defined to be the set of all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that there exists an open set  $U_z \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Delta(0; r) \cup [0, z] \subseteq U_z$  and a complex analytic function  $\psi : U_z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\psi \upharpoonright \Delta(0; r) = \phi$ . (Here,  $[0, z]$  denotes the straight line segment in  $\mathbb{C}$  from 0 to  $z$ , i.e.  $[0, z] := \{tz : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ .)

It can be shown (see [3] Volume 3) that  $S_\phi$  is an open, connected and simply connected set containing  $\Delta(0; r)$ , and that there exists a unique complex analytic function  $\star\phi : S_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\star\phi \upharpoonright \Delta(0; r) = \phi$ . I call  $\star\phi$  the *star function* of  $\phi$ . Also, a point  $z$  such that  $z \notin S_\phi$  but satisfying  $[0, w] \subseteq S_\phi$  for all  $w \in [0, z] \setminus \{z\}$  will be called a *singular point* of  $\phi$ .

In general one can say very little else about the nature of the set  $S_\phi$  (it could, for example, be bounded). However, we have the following result in the definable situation.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  be an o-minimal expansion of the ordered field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  and assume that  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  has analytic cell decomposition. Let  $\phi : \Delta(0; r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a complex analytic function and suppose that its star function  $\star\phi : S_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (and hence its star  $S_\phi$ ) is definable (in  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ ). Then  $\phi$  has only finitely many singular points.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : \Delta(0; r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\star\phi : S_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be as in the hypotheses of the theorem and suppose that  $\phi$  has infinitely many singular points. Then by analytic cell decomposition there would exist (possibly after rotating  $\mathbb{C}$  about 0) a 2-cell  $C$  of the form

$$C = \{x + iy : a < x < b, f(x) < y < g(x)\},$$

where  $f, g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are definable real analytic functions, such that  $C \subseteq S_\phi$  and such that  $\star\phi \upharpoonright C$  has no analytic continuation to any open set in  $\mathbb{C}$  containing a point of  $\text{graph}(g)$ . We may further assume (by refining the original cell decomposition) that either for all  $z \in C$ ,  $|\star\phi(z)| < 1$  or for all  $z \in C$ ,  $|\star\phi(z)| > 1$ .

Let us consider the first case. By o-minimality there is a finite set  $s \subseteq \text{graph}(g)$  such that  $\star\phi$  has a definable continuous extension (which we also denote by  $\star\phi$ ) to  $(C \cup (\text{graph}(g))) \setminus s$ . By analytic cell decomposition again, there exists  $a', b'$  with  $a < a' < b' < b$  such that  $\star\phi \circ g \upharpoonright (a', b')$  is a definable

real analytic function. We now obtain a contradiction by using a classical argument (as described in, for example, [2], Chapter IX). Namely, fix  $x_0 \in (a', b')$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be chosen small enough so that  $a' < x_0 - \epsilon < x_0 < x_0 + \epsilon < b'$  and also so that the (real) Taylor series of both  $g$  and  $\star\phi \circ g$  extend (via the same power series) to (not necessarily definable) complex analytic functions  $G : \Delta(x_0; \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\Phi : \Delta(x_0; \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  respectively.

Define the complex analytic function  $H : \Delta(x_0; \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $H(z) := z + iG(z)$ . Since the Taylor coefficients of  $G$  are real it follows that  $H'(x_0) \neq 0$  and hence (by reducing  $\epsilon$  if necessary) that  $H$  is a holomorphic homeomorphism from  $\Delta(x_0; \epsilon)$  onto an open set,  $U$  say. Further,  $H$  maps the interval  $(x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon)$  onto  $\text{graph}(g \upharpoonright (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon))$ .

Now consider the function

$$\Psi := \star\phi - \Phi \circ H^{-1} : (C \cup \text{graph}(g \upharpoonright (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon))) \cap U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

By our construction  $\Psi$  is continuous, holomorphic on  $C \cap U$ , and identically zero on the analytic curve  $\text{graph}(g \upharpoonright (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon))$  which forms (a nontrivial) part of the boundary of  $C \cap U$ . This implies (see [2], page 303, exercise 6) that  $\Psi$  is identically zero throughout  $(C \cup \text{graph}(g \upharpoonright (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon))) \cap U$ . It follows that  $\Phi \circ H^{-1}$  provides an analytic continuation of  $\star\phi$  to the open set  $C \cup U$ . But this is a contradiction since  $U$  contains the point  $x_0 + ig(x_0)$  of  $\text{graph}(g)$ .

The case that  $|\star\phi(z)| > 1$  for all  $z \in C$  is dealt with by applying the above argument to the function  $\frac{1}{\star\phi}$  and then inverting the analytic continuation. (The proof actually shows that  $\star\phi$  is necessarily locally bounded at all but finitely many points of  $\text{graph}(g)$ .)

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Later I shall show that the collection of all those complex analytic germs having a definable Mittag-Leffler star has a reasonably rich structure, at least if  $\mathbb{R}$  does. This is in contrast to those germs having definable entire, or definable meromorphic, extensions which, as one can easily show, are (for any o-minimal  $\mathbb{R}$ ) just polynomials or rational functions respectively.

The proof of Theorem 1 shows that any definable complex analytic function whose domain is an open cell  $C$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  has an analytic continuation (though not necessarily a definable one) across the boundary of  $C$  at all but finitely many points. I leave the reader to combine this remark with Theorem 1 itself to give a proof of the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  be an o-minimal expansion of the ordered field of real numbers  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and assume that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  has analytic cell decomposition. Let  $\phi : \Delta(0; r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a complex analytic function and suppose that its star function  $\star\phi : S_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (and hence its star  $S_\phi$ ) is definable (in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ ). Then for all but finitely many points  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists a continuous, piecewise linear path beginning at 0 and terminating at  $z$  (and, in fact, consisting of at most two line segments) along which  $\phi$  has an analytic continuation.*

However, I am unable to settle the following question.

### Open Problem 1

Let  $\phi$  and  $\star\phi$  be as in the hypotheses of Theorems 1 and 2. Does there exist a finite set  $s \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\phi$  has an analytic continuation along all continuous, definable paths that begin at 0 and avoid  $s$ ?

Before finishing this section I should mention the Mittag-Leffler Star Theorem. This provides a remarkable series expansion for  $\star\phi$  which converges uniformly to  $\star\phi$  on compact subsets of  $S_\phi$ . It is completely analogous to the Taylor expansion on the disk of convergence of  $\phi$  in the sense that the only dependence of the series on the germ  $\phi$  is a fixed (i.e. independent of  $\phi$ ) linear one on the numbers  $\phi(0), \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(n)}(0), \dots$ . I will not need this result and so I will not expand on this remark. The interested reader may consult [3] for further information and proofs.

## 3 The ring of definable star functions

I now fix an o-minimal expansion  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and I assume that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  has analytic cell decomposition. Notions of definability are relative to  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  and are *without* parameters.

I denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$  the collection of all definable, complex analytic germs at 0, i.e. the collection of definable, complex analytic functions  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (where  $U$  is a (definable) open neighbourhood of 0), where two such functions are identified if there is some open neighbourhood of 0 on which they agree. I will, however, not distinguish notationally between functions and their germs.

It is clear that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is an integral domain (under pointwise operations) and a differential ring (under the usual derivative  $\frac{d}{dz}$ ). We are interested in its subset consisting of those  $\phi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$  having a definable star function  $\star\phi : S_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

It is not immediately obvious this is a subring of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ : it could be the case, for example, that  $\star\phi : S_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\star\psi : S_\psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are definable but that the domain of  $\star(\phi + \psi)$  (i.e.  $S_{(\phi+\psi)}$ ) is strictly larger than  $S_\phi \cap S_\psi$ . So we would need to show that the extension of the (obviously definable) function  $\star\phi + \star\psi : S_\phi \cap S_\psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to  $S_{(\phi+\psi)}$  is definable.

To resolve this rather annoying difficulty, we first let  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  be the collection of all definable, open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  of the form  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n [a_j, \infty)$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are (necessarily definable) nonzero complex numbers (and where, for  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $[a, \infty) := \{ta : 1 \leq t\}$ ).

Now let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} := \{\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}} : \phi \text{ has a (definable) representative } \bar{\phi} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ for some } U \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}.$$

Now it is certainly clear that  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is a subring of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  since  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  is closed under intersection. We would like to show that  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  may be identified with the collection of those  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  having a definable star function. Such a result is in the spirit of those in section 2.7 of [4] but does not seem to follow directly from them. So instead we argue as follows.

Consider, more generally, any definable complex analytic function  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  where  $U$  is a (definable) open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  of co-dimension at most 1 (in the sense of the o-minimal structure  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{E}(F)$  denote the collection of all (not necessarily definable) complex analytic functions  $G : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $U \subseteq V \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V$  open, and  $G \upharpoonright U = F$ . Now if  $G_i : V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are in  $\mathcal{E}(F)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $z \in V_1 \cap V_2$  then  $G_1(z) = G_2(z)$ . This is because for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\Delta(z; \epsilon) \subseteq V_1 \cap V_2$  and  $\Delta(z; \epsilon) \cap U$  is a nonempty open set (as  $U$  has codimension 1) on which  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  agree (with  $F$ ). It follows that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , being complex analytic, agree throughout  $\Delta(z; \epsilon)$  and hence in particular that  $G_1(z) = G_2(z)$ .

It now follows that all functions in  $\mathcal{E}(F)$  have a common extension,  $H : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  say, which also lies in  $\mathcal{E}(F)$ . Further,  $H$  is definable. To see this let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  be the closure of the graph of  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then one easily shows that for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $H(z) = w$  if and only if  $\langle z, w \rangle \in A$  and for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $A \cap (\Delta(z; \epsilon) \times \mathbb{C})$  is the graph of a continuously (complex) differentiable function with domain  $\Delta(z; \epsilon)$ , and this is a definable condition.

Now suppose that  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , represented by  $\bar{\phi} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . Since sets in  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  obviously have co-dimension at most 1, we may apply the argument

above to  $F = \bar{\phi}$  and let  $H : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the resulting maximal extension. Then as the function  $\star\phi : S_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  lies in  $\mathcal{E}(\bar{\phi})$  it follows that  $S_\phi \subseteq W$  and  $H \upharpoonright S_\phi = \star\phi$ . Now it may be the case that the inclusion here is proper (e.g. if  $\phi(z) = (1 - z)^{-1}$ , then  $S_\phi = \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$  whereas  $W = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ ), but, given  $W$  it is very easy to define the singular points of  $\phi$ , and hence also the set  $S_\phi$ . Since  $\star\phi$  is just the restriction of  $H$  to  $S_\phi$  its definability also follows, as required.

The rest of this paper is devoted to proving that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is closed under various operations. Our first observation is now clear.

**Theorem 3.**  *$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is a subring (in fact, a differential subring) of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ .*

We also have the following.

**Theorem 4.**  *$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is algebraically closed in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, if  $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is invertible in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$  (i.e. if  $f(0) \neq 0$ ) then it is invertible in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . For if  $\text{domain}(f) = U \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , let  $Z_f := \{a \in U : f(a) = 0\}$ . Since  $Z_f$  is a discrete set, it is finite (by o-minimality). So if we set  $V := U \setminus \bigcup_{a \in Z_f} [a, \infty)$  then  $V \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $\frac{1}{f} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a definable, complex analytic function. Hence  $\frac{1}{f} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ .

So to prove the theorem it is now sufficient to consider a monic polynomial

$$P(w) = w^n + f_1 \cdot w^{n-1} + \cdots + f_n$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , which has a root,  $\phi$  say, in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . We may assume that  $P$  is irreducible over (the field of fractions of)  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and, in particular, that its discriminant,  $D$  say, is a nonzero element of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . It follows, as above, that we can find a set  $U \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$  such that both  $U \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n \text{domain}(f_j)$  and  $D(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

It now follows from classical theory that  $\phi$  has an analytic continuation to all points of  $U$ . (The continuation is single valued since  $U$  is simply connected.) In particular,  $U \subseteq S_\phi$  and this continuation is necessarily equal to  $\star\phi \upharpoonright U$  (as both functions agree on an open neighbourhood of zero) and, further,  $P(\star\phi) = 0$  (in the ring  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ). It remains to show that  $\star\phi \upharpoonright U$  is definable. However, this follows easily by considering a cell decomposition

of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  compatible with the (definable) set consisting of all  $\langle x, y, t \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $x + iy \in U$  and for some  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(t + iu)^n + f_1(x + iy) \cdot (t + iu)^{n-1} + \cdots + f_0(x + iy) = 0.$$

Then the graph of the real part of  $\star\phi \upharpoonright U$  is given by piecing together certain 0, 1 and 2 cells of this decomposition. The imaginary part of  $\star\phi \upharpoonright U$  is dealt with similarly and this completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

It follows from Theorem 4 that if  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}} = \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ .

### Open Problem 2

Does there exist an o-minimal expansion  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  in which some nonalgebraic, complex analytic germ  $f : \Delta(0; r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is definable, but is such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ ?

Certainly the complex exponential function restricted to a disk  $\Delta(0; r)$  could not be definable in such an  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  since its star function is the entire exponential function which is not definable in any o-minimal structure.

From now on we assume that, for any  $R > 0$ ,  $\exp \upharpoonright \{x + iy : -R < y < R\}$  is definable in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . This is equivalent to both the real exponential function  $\exp \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}$  and the restricted sine function  $\sin : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  being definable in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . The structure  $\mathbb{R}_{an, \exp}$  is an example.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and assume that  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Then any branch of  $\log f$  (restricted to some set in  $\widetilde{S}$ ) is in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly we may choose  $r > 0$  small enough so that all determinations of  $\log f \upharpoonright \Delta(0; r)$  are in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . Let  $L_f : \Delta(0; r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such a determination. Let  $U = \text{domain}(f)$ , so that  $U \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . Now arguing as before we may assume that  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in U$ . Thus, since  $U$  is simply connected,  $L_f$  extends to a single valued logarithm of  $f$  on all of  $U$  via the usual formula

$$L_f(z) = L_f(0) + \int_0^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw \quad (z \in U)$$

where the integration is along the straight line segment  $[0, z] \subseteq U$ .

To see that  $L_f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is definable let us first note that the functions  $|f| : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $\frac{f}{|f|} : U \rightarrow \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| = 1\}$  are definable, continuous



functions. Since the real logarithm function from  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is definable, we obtain immediately that the real part of  $L_f$  ( $= \log |f|$ ) is definable.

To deal with the imaginary part we note that as  $L_f$  is definable in some neighbourhood of 0, the number  $L_f(0)$  is definable and hence so is its imaginary part,  $\theta_0$  say. Then for  $z \in U$ , the imaginary part of  $L_f(z)$  is given by  $\theta_z(z)$ , where  $\theta_z : [0, z] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the unique continuous function satisfying (a)  $\theta_z(0) = \theta_0$ , and (b)  $\frac{f}{|f|}(w) = e^{i\theta_z(w)}$  for  $w \in [0, z]$ .

So we must show that  $\theta_z(z)$  is a definable function.

For  $z \in U$ , let

$$A_z = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } \frac{f}{|f|}(tz) = 1\}$$

Then  $A_z$  is, uniformly in  $z$ , a definable subset of  $[0, 1]$ . It follows by o-minimality that there exists  $N > 0$  such that for all  $z \in U$ , either  $A_z$  contains at most  $N$  points, or else  $A_z$  contains an open interval. In the former case we clearly have that

$$\theta_0 - 2\pi(N + 1) \leq \theta_z(w) \leq \theta_0 + 2\pi(N + 1)$$

for all  $w \in [0, z]$ . This holds in the latter case too since then, by analyticity,  $f$  is real (and positive) throughout  $[0, z]$ , and hence  $\theta_z$  is constant with value  $\theta_0$ .

We now consider a cell decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  compatible with the set

$$\{(x, y, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x + iy \in U, \theta_0 - 2\pi(N + 1) \leq \theta \leq \theta_0 + 2\pi(N + 1) \text{ and } \cos \theta + i \sin \theta = \frac{f(x+iy)}{|f(x+iy)|}\}.$$

(Notice that this set is definable by our assumptions on  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ .)

The graph of the function  $z \mapsto \theta_z(z)$  ( $z \in U$ ) is now obtained by piecing together certain 0, 1 and 2 cells of this decomposition.  $\square$

By a similar argument one can also establish the following result.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $f(0) \neq 0$ , and  $\alpha$  is an exponent of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ , then (any branch of)  $f^\alpha$  also lies in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ .*

I am reasonably confident that Theorems 4 and 5 have a common generalization as suggested by the following problem.

**Open Problem 3**

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $\tau_1(w), \dots, \tau_n(w)$  be one variable terms of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}_{exp})$ . Assume that  $\phi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$  satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(z) \cdot \tau_j(\phi(z)) = 0$$

for all  $z \in \text{domain}(\phi)$ . Is it the case that  $\phi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ?

As for our original motivation, I conjecture a positive answer to the following.

**Open Problem 4**

Let  $\tau(z, w)$  be a two variable term of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}_{exp})$  and let  $\phi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$  be such that

$$\tau(z, \phi(z)) = 0$$

for all  $z \in \text{domain}(\phi)$ . Then does the star of  $\phi$  have at most countably many singular points? If so, is each such point definable?

Finally, I state a result that makes no reference to definability. It follows immediately from Theorems 4, 5, 6 and 2. However, I see no way of proving it without using the o-minimality of, say,  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the ring of complex analytic germs at the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the smallest subset of  $\mathcal{O}$  containing the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[z]$  and satisfying the following closure conditions:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{H}$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{O}$  and is algebraically closed in  $\mathcal{O}$ ;
- (ii) if  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $f(0) \neq 0$ , then  $\log f \in \mathcal{H}$ ;
- (iii) if  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $f(0) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$ .

*Then for every germ  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  there exists a finite set  $s_f \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus s_f$  there exists a piecewise linear path starting at 0 and terminating at  $z$  along which  $f$  can be analytically continued.*

## References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford (Édition Jacques Gabay), (1992).
- [2] Serge Lang, *Complex Analysis*, Springer (Fourth edition), (1999).
- [3] A.I. Markushevich, *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, (Translated from Russian) Chelsea (1977).
- [4] Y. Peterzil, S. Starchenko, Expansions of algebraically closed fields in o-minimal structures, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 7, no.3 (2000), pp.409-445.